

RESOLUTION 178 OF 2021

**RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
KINGSTON, NEW YORK, RECOMMENDING APPROVAL FOR
TRANSFERS TO THE 2021 CORPORATION COUNSEL BUDGET**

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman: Scott-
Childress, Tallerman, Davis, Hirsch, Schabot

WHEREAS, the Corporation Counsel has submitted a request for a budget modification in the 2021 Corporation Counsel's budget in the amount of \$77,000.00 to correct shortages in the consultant's and court and witness fee lines; and

WHEREAS, the Finance/Audit Committee has received, reviewed and approved this request.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1.

TO:	A1-1420-14-5411	Consultants	\$65,000
	A1-1420-14-5419	Court & Witness Fees	12,000
FROM:	A1-9999-5901	Fund Balance	65,000
	A1-9999-5901	Fund Balance	12,000

SECTION 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of
_____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of
_____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

**FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT**

<u>REQUEST DESCRIPTION</u>		
INTERNAL TRANSFER _____ AUTHORIZATION _____ CLAIMS _____	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____ BUDGET MODIFICATION _____ ZONING _____	TRANSFER _____ BONDING REQUEST _____ OTHER _____
DEPARTMENT <u>Corporation Counsel</u> DATE <u>September 8, 2021</u> Description: <u>Authorize the transfer of the sum of \$77,000 to correct shortages in the consultant's and Court and Witness lines</u> _____ _____ _____ _____ _____ _____ _____ Estimated Financial Impact _____ Signature <u>Rene B...</u>		

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required: _____

SEQRA Decision:
 Type I Action _____
 Type II Action _____
 Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott-Childress, Chairman		
Donald Tallerman, Ward 5		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Patrick O'Reilly, Ward 7		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		

(FIA)

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of Corporation Counsel
kbryant@kingston-ny.gov

Steven T. Noble, Mayor



Kevin R. Bryant, Corporation Counsel
Daniel Gartenstein, Asst. Corporation Counsel

TO: Andrea Shaut
President, Common Council

FROM: Kevin R. Bryant *KB*
Corporation Counsel

DATE: September 2, 2021

RE: Budget Transfer for Corporation Counsel

Attached please find transfer request for Corporation Counsel for the year 2021.

September 2, 2021 Transfer Corporation Counsel

A1-9999-5901	Fund Balance	65,000.00
A1-9999-5901	Fund Balance	12,000.00
Total		77,000.00

To:

A1-1420-14-5411	Consultants	65,000.00
A1-1420-14-5419	Court & Witness Fees	12,000.00
Total		77,000.00

RESOLUTION 179 OF 2021

A RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, REQUESTING AN INTERDEPARTMENTAL TRANSFER IN THE COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$35,000.00 TO BALANCE ACCOUNTS

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman Schabot,
O'Reilly, Tallerman, Hirsch

WHEREAS, the Comptroller has requested an interdepartmental transfer in the amount of \$35,000.00 to balance various accounts; and

WHEREAS, the Finance/Audit Committee has received, reviewed and approved this request;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

TO:	A1.1130.5412	Data Processing Supp.	\$22,800.00
	A1.1315.5205	Data Processing Eq.	\$200.00
	A1.1315.5402	Office Supplies	\$3,000.00
	A1.1320.5411	Consultants	\$9,000.00
FROM:	A1.1130.5402	Office Supplies	\$3,000.00
	A1.1315.5414	Employee Training	\$500.00
	A1.1315.5461	Travel Reimb.	\$200.00
	A1.1315.5471	Service Contracts	\$500.00
	A1.1315.5472	Contracted Services	\$200.00
	A1.1330.5412	Data Processing Support	\$1,100.00
	A1.1340.5402	Office Supplies	\$100.00
	A1.3320.5111	Seasonal Employees	\$5,000.00
	A1.3320.5112	Part Time Employees	\$6,500.00
	A1.3320.5441	Main. Of Equip.	\$2,900.00

A1.9050.5850

Unemployment Ins.

\$15,000.00

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of
_____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of
_____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT

<u>REQUEST DESCRIPTION</u>		
INTERNAL TRANSFER _____	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____	TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION ☒ _____	BUDGET MODIFICATION ☒ _____	BONDING REQUEST _____
CLAIMS _____	ZONING _____	OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT Comptroller DATE 8/20/2021

Description: Respectfully request approval of interdepartmental 2021 budgetary transfers totaling \$35,000. There is no overall budgetary impact from these transfers.

Estimated Financial Impact N/A Signature 

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required: _____

SEQRA Decision:
Type I Action _____
Type II Action _____
Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Douglas Koop, Chairman	☐	☐
Reynolds Scott-Childress Ward 3	☐	☐
Anthony Davis, Ward 6	☐	☐
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	☐	☐
Steven Schabot, Ward 8	☐	☐

F+ A

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of the Comptroller
comptroller@kingston-ny.gov

John Tuey, Comptroller



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

August 20, 2021

Alderman At Large Andrea Shaut, President
City of Kingston Common council
City Hall, 420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

RE: Mid-Year 2021 Budgetary Transfers

Dear President Shaut,

I am submitting the attached 2021 budgetary transfers to accommodate necessary adjustments to my office's 2021 budget. There is no overall financial impact from these transfers.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John R. Tuey", is written over a horizontal line.

John R. Tuey, CPA
Comptroller, City of Kingston

General Fund Budgetary Transfer

To:

<u>Fund</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Acct #</u>	<u>Acct Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
A1	1130	5412	Data Processing Support	22,800.00
A1	1315	5205	Data Processing Equip	200.00
A1	1315	5402	Office Supplies	3,000.00
A1	1320	5411	Consultants	9,000.00
				<u>35,000.00</u>

From:

<u>Fund</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Acct #</u>	<u>Acct Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
A1	1130	5402	Office Supplies	3,000.00
A1	1315	5414	Employee Training	500.00
A1	1315	5461	Travel Reimb	200.00
A1	1315	5471	Service Contracts	500.00
A1	1315	5472	Contracted Svces	200.00
A1	1330	5412	Data Processing Support	1,100.00
A1	1340	5402	Office Supplies	100.00
A1	3320	5111	Seasonal Employees	5,000.00
A1	3320	5112	Part Time Employees	6,500.00
A1	3320	5441	Maintenance of Equipment	2,900.00
A1	9050	5850	Unemployment Ins	15,000.00
				<u>35,000.00</u>

RESOLUTION 180 OF 2021

A RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AUTHORIZING THE USAGE OF \$1,635,000.00 IN FUND BALANCE IN THE GENERAL FUND TO PAYDOWN EXISTING SHORT TERM DEBT. THIS ACTION WILL PROVIDE NEAR TERM TAX RELIEF AND AID IN BRINGING FUND BALANCE LEVELS WITHIN THE TARGETED RANGE SET BY THE CITY POLICY

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman Scott-Childress, Schabot, Tallerman, Hirsch, Davis

WHEREAS, the Comptroller has requested a transfer in the amount of \$1,635,000.00 from Fund Balance to paydown existing short term debt, and;

WHEREAS, the Finance/Audit Committee has received, reviewed, and approved this request;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

FROM:	A1.999919.5901	Fund Balance	\$1,635,000.00
TO:	A1.995019.5906	Bond Anticipation Note Principal	\$1,635,000.00

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

1THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT

REQUEST DESCRIPTION

INTERNAL TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION ^x _____
CLAIMS _____

CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____
BUDGET MODIFICATION _____
ZONING _____

TRANSFER ^x _____
BONDING REQUEST _____
OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Comptroller

DATE: 5/28/2021

Description: Authorize the usage of \$1,635,000 in fund balance in the General Fund to paydown existing short term debt. This action will provide near term tax relief and aid in bringing fund balance levels within the targeted range set by City policy.

To: A1995019.5906 \$1,635,000 Bond Anticipation Note Principal

From: A1999919.5901 \$1,635,000 Fund Balance

Estimated Financial Impact: \$1,635,000 Signature _____



Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman	☐	☐
Don Tallerman, Ward 5	☐	☐
Anthony Davis, Ward 6	☐	☐
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	☐	☐
Steven Schabot, Ward 8	☐	☐

RESOLUTION 181 OF 2021

A RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, REQUESTING A TRANSFER IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET FROM CONTINGENCY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,318.00 TO PAY OFF A RESIGNATION RETIREMENT ACCOUNT

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman Schabot,
Davis, Tallerman, Hirsch

WHEREAS, the Superintendent of the Department of Public Works has requested a transfer in the amount of \$4,318.00 to pay off the resignation, retirement accumulation of a full time employee, and;

WHEREAS, the Finance/Audit Committee has received, reviewed, and approved this request

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

FROM: A1.1990.14.5404 Contingency \$4,318.00

TO: A1.1490.11.5101 Resignation Payout \$4,318.00

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of

_____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of

_____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

181

1 THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT

<u>REQUEST DESCRIPTION</u>		
INTERNAL TRANSFER _____	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER <u>X</u> _____	TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION _____	BUDGET MODIFICATION _____	BONDING REQUEST _____
CLAIMS _____	ZONING _____	OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Public Works DATE: 8/19/21

Description:

\$4,318.00 FROM A1199014.5404 Contingency
\$4,318.00 TO A1149011.5105 Resignation Payout/Retirement Accumulation

Estimated Financial Impact: \$4,318.00 Signature 

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman		
Don Tallerman, Ward 5		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		

F+A

Tinti, Elisa

From: Topple, Maureen
Sent: Monday, August 30, 2021 10:21 AM
To: Shaut, Andrea; Tuey, John; Tinti, Elisa
Cc: Norman, Edward
Subject: RE: DPW Transfers
Attachments: 8.26.21 Contingency Revised.pdf; 8.26.21 Revised Transfer.pdf

Good Morning President Shaut,

Attached are two revised transfer requests for Contingency & General funds (to correct/add account numbers); the Sewer fund transfer remains as previously submitted.

Thank you.

Kind Regards,

Maureen K. Topple

Principal Account Clerk
City of Kingston
Dept. of Public Works
25 East O'Reilly Street
Kingston, NY 12401
845/331-0682, ext. 1980
845/331-0295 fax

P Go Green! Print this email only when necessary. Thank you for helping the City of Kingston be environmentally responsible.

From: Topple, Maureen
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2021 2:23 PM
To: Shaut, Andrea <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>; Tuey, John <jtuey@kingston-ny.gov>; Tinti, Elisa <emtinti@kingston-ny.gov>
Cc: Norman, Edward <enorman@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: DPW Transfers

Good Afternoon President Shaut,

Please see attached transfer requests and cover letter.
We respectfully request same be submitted to the Council for review.

Thank you.

Kind Regards,

Maureen K. Topple

Principal Account Clerk
City of Kingston
Dept. of Public Works
25 East O'Reilly Street
Kingston, NY 12401
845/331-0682, ext. 1980
845/331-0295 fax

P Go Green! Print this email only when necessary. Thank you for helping the City of Kingston be environmentally responsible.

RESOLUTION 182 OF 2021

A RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, REQUESTING AN INTERNAL TRANSFER IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET IN THE AMOUNT OF \$23,000.00 OF SEWER FUND MONIES TO COVER SHORTFALLS

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman Schabot,
Davis, Tallerman, Hirsch

WHEREAS, the Superintendent of the Department of Public Works has requested a transfer in the amount of \$23,000.00 to cover shortfalls, and;

WHEREAS, the Finance/Audit Committee has received, reviewed, and approved this request

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

FROM:	G1.8120.14.5441	Sewer Equipment Maint.	\$1,000.00
	G1.8120.14.5443	Sewer Building Maint.	\$3,000.00
	G1.8120.14.5444	Sewer Vehicle Maint.	\$6,500.00
	G1.8120.14.5472	Sewer Cont. Services	\$6,000.00
	G1.8120.14.5487	Sewer Const. Materials	\$6,500.00
TO:	G1.8120.11.5103	Sewer OT	\$17,000.00
	G1.8120.14.5498	Sewer Sludge	\$6,000.00

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

182

1THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT

<u>REQUEST DESCRIPTION</u>		
INTERNAL TRANSFER ☒	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____	TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION _____	BUDGET MODIFICATION ☒	BONDING REQUEST _____
CLAIMS _____	ZONING _____	OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Public Works DATE: 8/20/2021

Description: Internal transfer of \$23,000.00 of Sewer Fund monies to cover shortfalls in accounts, as per attached detail.

Estimated Financial Impact: \$0 Signature: 

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:
Type I Action _____
Type II Action _____
Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status:

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman	☐	☐
Don Tallerman, Ward 5	☐	☐
Anthony Davis, Ward 6	☐	☐
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	☐	☐
Steven Schabot, Ward 8	☐	☐

Sewer Fund

Take From:

G1 8120 14 5441	\$	1,000.00	Sewer Equipment Maintenance
G1 8120 14 5443	\$	3,000.00	Sewer Building Maintenance
G1 8120 14 5444	\$	6,500.00	Sewer Vehicle Maintenance
G1 8120 14 5472	\$	6,000.00	Sewer Contracted Services
G1 8120 14 5487	\$	6,500.00	Sewer Construction Material

\$ 23,000.00

Apply To:

G1 8120 11 5103	\$	17,000.00	Sewer OT
G1 8120 14 5498	\$	6,000.00	Sewer Sludge

\$ 23,000.00

F+A

Tinti, Elisa

From: Topple, Maureen
Sent: Monday, August 30, 2021 10:21 AM
To: Shaut, Andrea; Tuey, John; Tinti, Elisa
Cc: Norman, Edward
Subject: RE: DPW Transfers
Attachments: 8.26.21 Contingency Revised.pdf; 8.26.21 Revised Transfer.pdf

Good Morning President Shaut,

Attached are two revised transfer requests for Contingency & General funds (to correct/add account numbers); the Sewer fund transfer remains as previously submitted.

Thank you.

Kind Regards,

Maureen K. Topple

Principal Account Clerk
City of Kingston
Dept. of Public Works
25 East O'Reilly Street
Kingston, NY 12401
845/331-0682, ext. 1980
845/331-0295 fax

P Go Green! Print this email only when necessary. Thank you for helping the City of Kingston be environmentally responsible.

From: Topple, Maureen
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2021 2:23 PM
To: Shaut, Andrea <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>; Tuey, John <jtuey@kingston-ny.gov>; Tinti, Elisa <emtinti@kingston-ny.gov>
Cc: Norman, Edward <enorman@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: DPW Transfers

Good Afternoon President Shaut,

Please see attached transfer requests and cover letter.
We respectfully request same be submitted to the Council for review.

Thank you.

Kind Regards,

Maureen K. Topple

RESOLUTION 183 OF 2021

A RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, REQUESTING A TRANSFER IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET IN THE AMOUNT OF \$90,861.00 TO COVER SHORTFALLS IN DPW GENERAL FUND

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman Schabot,
Davis, Tallerman, Hirsch

WHEREAS, the Superintendent of the Department of Public Works has requested a transfer in the amount of \$90,861.00 to cover shortfalls in the DPW General Fund and;

WHEREAS, the Finance/Audit Committee has received, reviewed, and approved this request

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

FROM:	A1.1490.22.2665	Sale of Used Equip.	\$33,115.00
	A1.1490.22.2710	Special Events	\$2,999.00
	A1.1621.11.5101	Skilled Mechanic Reg. pay	\$20,461.00
	A1.5110.11.5101	Streets Reg. Pay	\$6,120.00
	A1.8160.11.5101	Sanitation Reg. Pay	\$26,166.00
	A1.8164.14.5416	Recycle Ed. Materials	\$2,000.00
TO:	A1.5132.14.5479	Garage Minor Equip.	\$22,000.00
	A1.1490.11.5102	Admin. Longevity	\$450.00
	A1.1490.11.5103	Admin. OT.	\$6,300.00
	A1.1490.14.5422	Admin. Electric	\$5,200.00
	A1.3310.11.5103	Traffic Control OT.	\$1,000.00
	A1.3989.11.5103	Safety Coordinator	\$300.00
	A1.5110.11.5109	Streets Status Change	\$600.00
	A1.5110.12.5211	Streets Other Equip.	\$7,615.00

A1.5110.14.5487	Streets Construction Material	\$4,000.00
A1.5132.11.5103	Garage OT.	\$6,500.00
A1.5132.14.5422	Garage Electric	\$2,100.00
A1.5132.14.5423	Garage Gas	\$5,000.00
A1.5132.14.5443	Garage Building Maint.	\$1,500.00
A1.5132.14.5486	Garage Cleaning Supplies	\$500.00
A1.5132.14.5487	Garage Construction Materials	\$500.00
A1.8140.14.8472	Storm Sewer Contr. Services	\$235.00
A1.8160.14.5485	Sanitation General Materials	\$8,000.00
A1.8161.14.5422	Solid Waste Electric	\$1,700.00
A1.8164.14.5444	Recycling Vehicle Maint.	\$7,900.00
A1.9905.19.5901	Transfer to Capital	\$9,461.00

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of
_____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of
_____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

183

1THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT

<u>REQUEST DESCRIPTION</u>		
INTERNAL TRANSFER ☒	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER ☐	TRANSFER ☐
AUTHORIZATION ☐	BUDGET MODIFICATION ☐	BONDING REQUEST ☐
CLAIMS ☐	ZONING ☐	OTHER ☐

DEPARTMENT: Public Works DATE: 8/26/21 REVISED

Description: Internal transfer of \$90,861 General Fund monies to cover shortfalls in accounts as per REVISED attached detail

Estimated Financial Impact: \$ 9,461 Signature 

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required: _____

SEQRA Decision:
Type I Action _____
Type II Action _____
Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman	☐	☐
Don Tallerman, Ward 5	☐	☐
Anthony Davis, Ward 6	☐	☐
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	☐	☐
Steven Schabot, Ward 8	☐	☐

Apply To:

A1 5132 14 5479	\$ 22,000.00	Garage Minor Equipment
A1 1490 11 5102	\$ 450.00	Admin Longevity
A1 1490 11 5103	\$ 6,300.00	Admin OT
A1 1490 14 5422	\$ 5,200.00	Admin Electric
A1 3310 11 5103	\$ 1,000.00	Traffic Control OT
A1 3989 11 5103	\$ 300.00	Safety Coordinator OT
A1 5110 11 5109	\$ 600.00	Streets status change
A1 5110 12 5211	\$ 7,615.00	Streets other equipment
A1 5110 14 5487	\$ 4,000.00	Streets construction material
A1 5132 11 5103	\$ 6,500.00	Garage OT
A1 5132 14 5422	\$ 2,100.00	Garage Electric
A1 5132 14 5423	\$ 5,000.00	Garage Gas
A1 5132 14 5443	\$ 1,500.00	Garage Building Maintenance
A1 5132 14 5486	\$ 500.00	Garage Cleaning Supplies
A1 5132 14 5487	\$ 500.00	Garage Construction Materials
A1 8140 14 8472	\$ 235.00	Storm Sewer Contracted Services
A1 8160 14 5485	\$ 8,000.00	Sanitation General Materials
A1 8161 14 5422	\$ 1,700.00	Solid Waste Electric
A1 8164 14 5444	\$ 7,900.00	Recycling Vehicle Maintenance
A1 9905 19 5901	\$ 9,461.00	Transfer to Capital
	\$ 90,861.00	

General Fund**Take From:**

A1 1490 22 2665	\$ 33,115.00	Sale of Used Equipment
A1 1490 22 2710	\$ 2,999.00	Special Events
A1 1621 11 5101	\$ 20,461.00	Skilled Mechanic Regular Pay
A1 5110 11 5101	\$ 6,120.00	Streets Regular pay
A1 8160 11 5101	\$ 26,166.00	Sanitation Regular Pay
A18164 14 5416	\$ 2,000.00	Recycle Education Materials
	\$ 90,861.00	

F+A

Tinti, Elisa

From: Topple, Maureen
Sent: Monday, August 30, 2021 10:21 AM
To: Shaut, Andrea; Tuey, John; Tinti, Elisa
Cc: Norman, Edward
Subject: RE: DPW Transfers
Attachments: 8.26.21 Contingency Revised.pdf; 8.26.21 Revised Transfer.pdf

Good Morning President Shaut,

Attached are two revised transfer requests for Contingency & General funds (to correct/add account numbers); the Sewer fund transfer remains as previously submitted.

Thank you.

Kind Regards,

Maureen K. Topple

Principal Account Clerk
City of Kingston
Dept. of Public Works
25 East O'Reilly Street
Kingston, NY 12401
845/331-0682, ext. 1980
845/331-0295 fax

P Go Green! Print this email only when necessary. Thank you for helping the City of Kingston be environmentally responsible.

From: Topple, Maureen
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2021 2:23 PM
To: Shaut, Andrea <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>; Tuey, John <jtuey@kingston-ny.gov>; Tinti, Elisa <emtinti@kingston-ny.gov>
Cc: Norman, Edward <enorman@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: DPW Transfers

Good Afternoon President Shaut,

Please see attached transfer requests and cover letter.
We respectfully request same be submitted to the Council for review.

Thank you.

Kind Regards,

Maureen K. Topple

RESOLUTION 184 OF 2021

A RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, REQUESTING A TRANSFER IN THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$13,000.00 TO BALANCE THE BUDGET

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman Schabot,
Davis, Tallerman, Hirsch

WHEREAS, the Director of the Parks and Recreation Department has requested a transfer in the amount of \$13,000.00 to balance the department budget and;

WHEREAS, the Finance/Audit Committee has received, reviewed, and approved this request

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

FROM:	A1.7180.11.5111	Pole/Beach Seasonal Employees	\$5,000.00
	A1.1625.14.5443	Buildings & Grounds Building Maint.	\$4,000.00
	A1.7142.14.5443	AMNC Maintenance of Building	\$1,000.00
	A1.1625.14.5472	Buildings & Grounds Cont. Services	\$3,000.00
TO:	A1.7180.11.5103	Pool/Beach OT Pay	\$5,000.00
	A1.7142.14.5423	AMNC Natural Gas	\$4,000.00
	A1.7142.14.5423	AMNC Natural Gas	\$1,000.00
	A1.7210.14.5422	Dietz Stadium Electricity	\$3,000.00

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

184

(F+A)

City of Kingston
Parks and Recreation Department

ltimbrouck@kingston-ny.gov



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Lynsey Timbrouck, Director

August 26, 2021

Dear President Shaut:

As part of our mid-year review, the Parks and Recreation Department would like to request a \$0 impact internal budget transfer to reconcile some of our accounts.

I respectfully request the following transfers:

\$5,000.00

From: A17180-11-5111 (Pool/Beach Seasonal Employees)

To: A17180-11-5103 (Pool/Beach Overtime Pay)

\$4,000.00

From: A11625-14-5443 (Buildings & Grounds Maintenance of Buildings)

To: A17142-14-5423 (AMNC Natural Gas)

\$1,000.00

From: A17142-14-5443 (AMNC Maintenance of Building)

To: A17142-14-5423 (AMNC Natural Gas)

\$3,000.00

From: A11625-14-5472 (Buildings & Grounds Contracted Services)

To: A17210-14-5422 (Dietz Stadium Electricity)

Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Lynsey Timbrouck
Director, Kingston Parks and Recreation

cc: John Tuey, Comptroller, City of Kingston

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

**FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT**

<u>REQUEST DESCRIPTION</u>		
INTERNAL TRANSFER <u> X </u> AUTHORIZATION _____ CLAIMS _____	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____ BUDGET MODIFICATION _____ ZONING _____	TRANSFER _____ BONDING REQUEST _____ OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: <u>Parks and Recreation</u> Description: (4) Internal budget transfers of: \$5,000.00 From: A17180-11-5111 (Pool/Beach Seasonal Employees) To: A17180-11-5103 (Pool/Beach Overtime Pay) \$4,000.00 From: A11625-14-5443 (Buildings & Grounds Maintenance of Buildings) To: A17142-14-5423 (AMNC Natural Gas) \$1,000.00 From: A17142-14-5443 (AMNC Maintenance of Building) To: A17142-14-5423 (AMNC Natural Gas) \$3,000.00 From: A11625-14-5472 (Buildings & Grounds Contracted Services) To: A17210-14-5422 (Dietz Stadium Electricity)	DATE: <u>8/26/2021</u> Estimated Financial Impact: \$0 Signature:
--	---

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required: _____

SEQRA Decision:
 Type I Action _____
 Type II Action _____
 Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman		
Don Tallerman, Ward 5		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9		

RESOLUTION 185 OF 2021

A RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, REQUESTING A TRANSFER IN THE WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$20,000.00 TO COVER THE COST OF A NEW FIRE ALARM SYSTEM AND UPGRADE THE EXISTING ALARM SYSTEM

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman Schabot,
Davis, Tallerman, Hirsch

WHEREAS, the Senior Operator of the Waste Water Treatment Plant has requested a transfer in the amount of \$20,000.00 to cover the expense of a new fire alarm system and to upgrade the existing alarm system, and;

WHEREAS, the Finance/Audit Committee has received, reviewed, and approved this request

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

FROM: G1.8121.11.5101 Regular Pay \$20,000.00

TO: G1.8130.11.5211 Other Equipment \$20,000.00

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

185

F+A

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

REQUEST DESCRIPTION		
INTERNAL TRANSFER ☒	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____	TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION _____	BUDGET MODIFICATION _____	BONDING REQUEST _____
CLAIMS _____	ZONING _____	OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: WWTP DATE: 08/27/21

Description: Request the following Budgetary transfer to cover the cost for new Sire Alarm system and upgrade to existing alarm system.

FROM: 61-8121-11-5101 \$20,000
TO : 61-8130-11-5211 \$20,000

Estimated Financial Impact: \$ 0 Signature _____

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Committee Vote	YES	NO
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman		
Don Tallerman, Ward 5		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		

(F+A)

CITY OF KINGSTON
Kingston Wastewater Treatment Facility
awinchell@kingston-ny.gov

Steven T. Noble, Mayor



Allen Winchell, Senior Operator

August 27, 2021

Andrea Schaut, President, Alderman at Large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, New York 12401

Re: Wastewater Treatment Facility Budget Transfer

Dear President Schaut:

Please see the attached budget transfer request for the wastewater treatment facility. This transfer is being requested to cover the cost of a new fire alarm system for the facility and upgrades to the existing alarm system. I respectfully request this transfer be submitted to the full Common Council for consideration at the next meeting.

Please feel free to contact me at (845) 331-2490 or by email, awinchell@kingston-ny.gov with any questions or concerns you may have.

Sincerely,

Allen Winchell, Senior Operator
Kingston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Cc: John Tuey, Comptroller
Ed Norman, Public Works Superintendent
Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

(F+A)

CITY OF KINGSTON
Kingston Wastewater Treatment Facility
awinchell@kingston-ny.gov

Steven T. Noble, Mayor



Allen Winchell, Senior Operator

August 27, 2021

Andrea Schaut, President, Alderman at Large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, New York 12401

Re: Wastewater Treatment Facility Budget Transfer

Dear President Schaut:

Please see the attached budget transfer request for the wastewater treatment facility. This transfer is being requested to cover the cost of a new fire alarm system for the facility and upgrades to the existing alarm system. I respectfully request this transfer be submitted to the full Common Council for consideration at the next meeting.

Please feel free to contact me at (845) 331-2490 or by email, awinchell@kingston-ny.gov with any questions or concerns you may have.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "A. Winchell", written over a horizontal line.

Allen Winchell, Senior Operator
Kingston Wastewater Treatment Facility

Cc: John Tuey, Comptroller
Ed Norman, Public Works Superintendent
Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

RESOLUTION 186 OF 2021

A RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, AUTHORIZING THE IMPLEMENTATION AND FUNDING IN THE FIRST INSTANCE OF THE NYSERDA CLEAN ENERGY COMMUNITIES LEADERSHIP ROUND AWARD AND A 2021 BUDGET TRANSFER IN THE MIDTOWN NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS LINE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$86,840.00 TO ADVANCE THE SOLAR INSTALLATION PROJECT

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman Schabot,
Davis, Tallerman, Hirsch

WHEREAS, the Coordinator of Environmental Education and Sustainability has requested a transfer in the amount of \$86,840.00 to advance the solar installation project with reimbursement from NYSERDA funds for \$50,000.00 and the City's match of \$36,840.00 from Fund Balance and;

WHEREAS, the Finance/Audit Committee has received, reviewed, and approved this request

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

FROM:	A1.7142.43389	NYS Grant	\$50,000.00
	A1.9999.19.5901	Fund Balance	\$36,840.00
TO:	A1.7142.5302	Capital Improvements	\$86,840.00

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

1876

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT

REQUEST DESCRIPTION		
INTERNAL TRANSFER _____	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____	TRANSFER <u>x</u> _____
AUTHORIZATION <u>x</u> _____	BUDGET MODIFICATION _____	BONDING REQUEST _____
CLAIMS _____	ZONING _____	OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Office of Sustainability DATE: 9/2/2021

Description

A resolution authorizing the implementation and funding in the first instance of the NYSEDA Clean Energy Communities Leadership Round Award, and a subsequent 2021 Budget Transfer Request in the Midtown Neighborhood Center Capital Improvements Line in the amount of \$86,840 to advance the solar installation project with the funds. Reimbursement will come from the NYSEDA funds for \$50,000 and the City's match will be \$36,840, from the Fund Balance.

FROM General Account # A17142.43389 NYS Grant \$50,000

A19999.19.5901 Fund Balance \$36,840

TO General Account # A17142.5302 Capital Improvements \$86,840

Estimated Financial Impact: \$36,840 Signature

Julie L. Noble

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required: _____

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott-Childress, Chairman Ward 3		
Don Tallerman, Ward 5		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		

F+A

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of Environmental Education and Sustainability
climatesmart@kingston-ny.gov

Julie L. Noble, Coordinator



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

September 2, 2021

Honorable Andrea Shaut
President/Alderman-at-large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY, 12401

Dear President Shaut,

The City of Kingston has been awarded \$50,000 as a result of participation in the NYSEDA Clean Energy Communities Leadership Round (CEC), the second time in which the City of Kingston has now earned a state award for our leadership in Energy Efficiency and Sustainability. With the awarded funds, the City has elected to pursue installation of a rooftop solar array on the Andy Murphy Midtown Neighborhood Center, a project that will fulfill the requirements set in NYSEDA's preapproved project guidelines.

Bids for the rooftop array were received by the Purchasing Department on August 18th, 2021. The lowest qualified bidder quoted \$86,840 for the full array. The NYSEDA funding of \$50,000 will reimburse 58% of this project, and we are requesting the additional funding from the Council, from the Fund Balance, to cover the remaining costs of the full array: \$36,840 (42%).

On behalf of the Office of Sustainability and with my role as Sustainability Coordinator, I would like to request placement on the agenda of the September Finance Committee meeting, the adoption of a budget transfer to fund this project. The request is for \$86,840, with \$50,000 reimbursed by the state, and a \$36,840 financial impact to the city.

The Andy Murphy Midtown Neighborhood Center consumes the highest amount of electricity across all municipal buildings in the City of Kingston. By solarizing the building, the City will offset up to 110% of consumed electricity, resulting in estimated savings of \$4,300 a year. Conversion to solar will also eliminate all associated greenhouse gas emissions, which are estimated to be 60,000 pounds of CO₂-eq annually. The payback period for the full array is approximately 8 ½ years, which is consistent with the average payback period for solar arrays in the United States of 8-10 years.

Please feel free to contact me with questions regarding this request.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Julie L. Noble

Environmental Education and Sustainability Coordinator

RESOLUTION 187 OF 2021

A RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, REQUESTING A TRANSFER IN THE CORPORATION COUNSEL BUDGET IN THE AMOUNT OF \$25,894.00 FROM FUND BALANCE TO ACCOMMODATE A PLANNED TRANSITION PERIOD BEGINNING NOVEMBER 1, 2021

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman Schabot,
Davis, Tallerman, Hirsch

WHEREAS, Corporation Counsel has requested a transfer in the amount of \$25,894.00 to accommodate a planned transition period beginning November 1, 2021 and;

WHEREAS, the Finance/Audit Committee has received, reviewed, and approved this request

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

FROM:	A1.9999.19.5901	Fund Balance	\$25,894.00
TO:	A1.1420.101	Regular Pay	\$16,701.00
	A1.1420.811	Social Security	\$1,278.00
	A1.1420.812	NYS Retirement	\$2,505.00
	A1.1420.821	Hosp. & Med Ins.	\$4,920.00
	A1.1420.822	Dental Ins.	\$366.00
	A1.1420.826	Optical Ins.	\$124.00

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

187

F+ A

CITY OF KINGSTON

Office of the Mayor

mayor@kingston-ny.gov

Steven T. Noble
Mayor



September 3rd, 2021

Honorable Andrea Shaut
President/Alderman-at-Large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Re: Corporation Counsel Budget Amendment

Dear President Shaut,

I am requesting that an amendment be made to the Corporation Counsel budget in order to accommodate a planned transition period beginning November 1st in the Corporation Council's office. To cover this transition plan, I am asking that \$25,894 be transferred from the Fund balance to cover these additional costs.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Respectfully Submitted,

Steven T. Noble
Mayor

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT

REQUEST DESCRIPTION

INTERNAL TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION _____
CLAIMS _____

CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____
BUDGET MODIFICATION x _____
ZONING _____

TRANSFER x _____
BONDING REQUEST _____
OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Corporation Counsel

DATE: 9/8/2021

Description:

Request to transfer \$25,894 from the Fund Balance per the attached chart. This transfer will allow for the ability to have a successful transition for a new Corporation Counsel beginning November 1st 2021.

Estimated Financial Impact: \$ 25,894

Signature _____

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman		
Don Tallerman, Ward 5		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		

RESOLUTION 188 OF 2021

**RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
KINGSTON, NEW YORK, REQUESTING A RESERVED PARKING
SPACE AT 14 POST STREET**

Sponsored By: Public Safety/General Government:
Alderman: Davis, Koop, Scott-Childress,
Ventura Morell. Hirsch

WHEREAS, the owner of 14 Post Street has requested a Reserved Parking Space at 14 Post Street for a business that is located in their building.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1. That the Common Council of the City of Kingston hereby opposes said request.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of
_____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of
_____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

296
287
188

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

PUBLIC SAFETY/GENERAL GOVERNMENT
COMMITTEE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: <u>DPW</u>	DATE: <u>9/22</u>
Description: _____	

Request Reserved parking space at 14 Post Street, _____	

Signature: _____	

Motion by MH

Seconded by TD

Action Required: _____

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
✓ Tony Davis, Chairman Anthony Davis		✓
✓ Doug Keefe Patrick O'Reilly Ward 2		✓
Rennie Scott-Childress, Ward 3		✓
✓ Jeffrey Ventura Morell, Ward 1		✓
✓ Michele Hirsch, Ward 9		✓

①
Tinti, Elisa

PS/GG

From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 6:49 AM
To: Tinti, Elisa
Subject: FW: Parking Request

Good morning Elisa,

Can you please add this to my folder for September communications?

Thank you,
Andrea

From: Schabot, Steven
Sent: Saturday, August 14, 2021 10:25 AM
To: Shaut, Andrea <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: Parking Request

President Shaut

The owners of 14 Post Street have requested a reserved parking spot(s) for the business that is located in their building.

Please assign to the appropriate committee.

Thank you

Steven Schabot

Alderman 8th Ward

RESOLUTION 189 OF 2021

**RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AMENDING CDBG BUDGET TRANSFER**

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman: Scott-
Childress, Tallerman, Davis, Hirsch, Schabot

WHEREAS, a request has been made to amend the CDBG budget previously adopted by Resolution 42 of 2021; and

WHEREAS, the funding for all of the improvements will be reimbursed by CDBG funds.

WHEREAS, the Finance/Audit Committee has received, reviewed and approved this request.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. That Resolution 42 of 2021, to expend funds in the first instance under the terms of the HUD Community Development Block Grant Program Parks Improvement Projects, be amended to create a Capital Account and authorization to spend in the first instance the amount of \$405,019.00 for Park improvements, including but not limited to Rickel Knox Park, Barmann Park, Van Buren Street Park, AMNC, EHC, Kingston Point Park and Block Park.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

1 THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT

<u>REQUEST DESCRIPTION</u>		
INTERNAL TRANSFER _____ AUTHORIZATION _____ CLAIMS _____	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____ BUDGET MODIFICATION _____ ZONING _____	TRANSFER _____ BONDING REQUEST _____ OTHER <u>X</u> _____
DEPARTMENT: <u>Parks and Recreation</u> DATE: <u>8/16/2021</u> Description: To amend CDBG budget transfer from 2/26/21 communication (res #42-2021): Creation of Capital Account and authorization to spend in the first instance in the amount of \$405,019 for Park improvements including, but not limited to Rickel Knox Park, Barmann Park, Van Buren Street Park, AMNC, EHC, Kingston Point Park, and Block Park. The funding for all of the improvements will be reimbursed by CDBG Funds. Estimated Financial Impact: \$0 Signature <u>Lynsey Timbrouck</u>		

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required: _____

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman		
Don Tallerman, Ward 5		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9		

RESOLUTION 42 OF 2021

**RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON,
NEW YORK, AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXPEND FUNDS IN THE
FIRST INSTANCE FOR MULTIPLE US DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND
URBAN DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
FUNDED AWARDS FOR PARKS IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS**

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman Scott-
Childress, Tallerman, Davis, Hirsch, Schabot

WHEREAS, the City of Kingston has been awarded a series of Community Development Block Grants from various funding years, in the amount of \$405,819; and

WHEREAS, there are no matching funding requirements; and

WHEREAS, there are specific requirements and regulations governing the expenditure of these funds; and

WHEREAS, the action is categorized under 6 NYCRR, Part 617.5 as Type II.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF
THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1. Administration of all funds under these grants will be in accordance with all terms and conditions contained in guidelines provided by the HUD Community Development Block Grant Program;

SECTION 2. The Mayor of the City of Kingston is hereby authorized to expend funds in the first instance under the terms of the HUD Community Development Block Grant Program Parks Improvement Projects;

SECTION 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this 3rd day of


March, 2021



Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Approved by the Mayor this 3rd day of

March, 2021



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on March 2, 2021

Tinti, Elisa

From: Noble, Steve
Sent: Friday, February 26, 2021 12:42 PM
To: Alderman
Cc: Tinti, Elisa; Timbrouck, Lynsey; Bruck, Amanda
Subject: Late Communication Request for Monday's Special Finance Meeting
Attachments: CDBG Budget Transfers 2021_updated 22621.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear President Shaut,

This is a request for placement on the agenda of the next appropriate Committee, and if at all possible, the Special Finance and Audit Committee on March 1, to discuss a request for budget transfers for a variety of Capital Park Improvement Projects for reimbursement by Community Development Block Grant funding. Each of the projects has been previously approved by the Council:

Transfer \$405,019 for Park improvements at AMNC, Rickel Knox, Barmann, Van Buren, Kingston Point and Block Parks, per resolutions #123 of 2016, # 106 of 2018, # 63 of 2019, # 11 of 2021.

- a. \$60,000 AMNC – Kitchen appliances and floors and senior lounge floors
- b. \$87,000 Block Park – Parking lot paving
- c. \$72,720 Barmann – Playground upgrades
- d. \$50,000 Van Buren – Replace safety structure
- e. \$70,000 Kingston Point – New playground
- f. \$65,899 Rickel Knox – New playground

I am asking that a Capital account be set up to manage these projects and approve a resolution to spend in the first instance for these important community projects. As you know, we are hoping to get these projects done as soon as possible to stay in compliance with HUD's timeliness guidelines and to also have these sites ready for Summer as best as possible.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions regarding the projects. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully Submitted,

Steven T. Noble
Mayor, City of Kingston
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401
845-334-3902
www.kingston-ny.gov

CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, COMMON COUNCIL
HONORABLE ANDREA SHAUT, PRESIDENT

01/01/2020-12/31/2021

COMMITTEE: Finance

DATE: 3/2/2021

RESOLUTION TITLE *Resolution #42 of 2021 authorizing the Mayor to expend funds in the first instance for multiple US Department of Housing and Urban Development of Housing and Urban Development Block Grant Funded Awards for Parks Improvement Projects*

OFFERED BY: ALDERMAN _____
SECONDED BY: ALDERMAN _____

ALDERMAN	YES	NO	ABSENT	REASON
1. JEFFREY VENTURA MORELL (D)				
2. DOUGLAS KOOP (D)				
3. REYNOLDS SCOTT-CHILDRESS (D)				
4. RITA WORTHINGTON (D)				
5. DONALD TALLERMAN (D)				
6. TONY DAVIS (D)				
7. PATRICK O'REILLY (D)				
8. STEVEN SCHABOT (D)				
9. MICHELE HIRSCH (D)				

TOTALS

CARRIED 9 DEFEATED 0

ELISA TINTI
CITY CLERK

FINANCE AND AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

INTERNAL TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION _____
CLAIMS _____

CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____
BUDGET MODIFICATION X _____
ZONING _____

TRANSFER _____
BONDING REQUEST _____
OTHER _____

DATE February 26, 2021

Creation of a Capital Account and authorization to spend in the first instance in the amount of \$405,019 for Park improvements at Rickel Knox, Barmann, Van Buren, AMNC, Kingston Point and Block Parks, per resolutions #123 of 2016, # 106 of 2018, # 63 of 2019, # 11 of 2021.

Estimated Financial Impact \$0

Signature _____

Action Required:

Type I Action _____
Type II Action _____
Unlisted Action _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott-Childress, Chairman <i>Reynolds Scott-Childress</i>	✓	
Don Tallerman, Ward 5 <i>Don Tallerman</i>	✓	
Anthony Davis, Ward 6 <i>Anthony Davis</i>	✓	
Steven Schabot, Ward 8 <i>Steven Schabot</i>	✓	
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9 <i>Michele Hirsch</i>	✓	

F+A

City of Kingston
Parks and Recreation Department
ltimbrouck@kingston-ny.gov

Steven T. Noble, Mayor



Lynsey Timbrouck, Director

August 16, 2021

Honorable Andrea Shaut
President/Alderman- at-Large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Re: Budget Amendment- CDBG Everett Hodge Center ADA Door

Dear President Shaut,

This is a request to amend the Mayor's February 26, 2021 communication (res #42-2021) of a budget transfer request for a variety of capital park improvement projects that are to be reimbursed by Community Development Block Grant funding. Due to a clerical error, the Everett Hodge Center ADA Door (\$8,200) was left off the original request. Additionally, due to an approved substantial amendment by HUD, the cost for the door was moved laterally from the AMNC request, which reduces the cost of that project from \$60,000 to \$51,800. This results in a \$0 impact amendment.

I would like for this request to be added on the agenda of the next Finance and Audit Committee to discuss further.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Lynsey Timbrouck
Parks and Recreation Director

RESOLUTION 190 of 2021

**RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON,
NEW YORK, REQUESTING BONDING AUTHORIZATION FOR THE DIETZ
STADIUM ANDRETTA POOL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT AND
AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE ANY AND ALL CONTRACTS
RELATED TO THE PROJECT**

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman: Scott-
Childress, Davis, Hirsch, Schabot,

WHEREAS, request has been made for bonding authorization in the amount of \$18,700 Dietz Stadium Andretta Pool Capital Improvement Project in the amount of \$18,400, with the amount to long term bond to be reduced by available state grants estimated at \$5,000, with approximately \$300,000 of the project cost will be funded through the Dietz Stadium Capital Reserve.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. That the Common Council of the City of Kingston, New York, authorizes bonding in the amount of \$18,700 for the Dietz Stadium Andretta Pool Capital Improvement Project.

SECTION 2. That the Common Council of the City of Kingston, New York, authorizes the Mayor to execute any and all contracts related to the Project.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

190

1THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT

REQUEST DESCRIPTION

INTERNAL TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION _____
CLAIMS _____

CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____
BUDGET MODIFICATION _____
ZONING _____

TRANSFER _____
BONDING REQUEST X _____
OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: _____ Mayor _____

DATE: _____ 9/8/2021 _____

Description:

Request bonding authorization for the \$18,700,000 Dietz Stadium/Andretta Pool Capital Improvement Project in the amount of \$18,400,000, with the amount to long term bond to be reduced by available state grants estimated at \$5,000,000. Approximately \$300,000 of the project cost will be funded through the Dietz Stadium Capital Reserve. Authorize the Mayor to execute all and any contracts related to this project.

Estimated Financial Impact: \$ 13,400,000

Motion by _____ Schabot _____

Seconded by _____ Davis _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman	x	
Don Tallerman, Ward 5	x	
Anthony Davis, Ward 6	x	
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	x	
Steven Schabot, Ward 8	x	

190

CITY OF KINGSTON

Office of the Mayor

mayor@kingston-ny.gov

F+A

Steven T. Noble
Mayor



September 3rd, 2021

Honorable Andrea Shaut
President/Alderman-at-Large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Re: Bonding for Dietz Stadium Project

Dear President Shaut,

It's been more than 30 years since Dietz Stadium has received more than a million dollars in Capital improvements. Almost all components of the stadium need refurbishment, and it is now our generation's turn to leave Dietz Stadium better than we found it.

At this point, we have raised \$5 million toward this effort thanks to grants from the New York Department of State, New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.

Together, with a partnership with the Kingston City School District, I am requesting that the Common Council approve a municipal bond package that will cover the cost of the \$18.7 million project. Our City team will continue to search for additional funding opportunities and revenue sources over the next year to reduce our local share, but it is critical for us to move forward now so that we can be prepared to start our construction in 2022. Construction is expected to last two construction seasons. Over the length of the bond, any local costs would be shared between the Kingston City School District and the City of Kingston.

I would like to provide a powerpoint presentation at the September Finance Committee meeting to go over the details with council members if possible. I have also attached the current budget breakdown.

Full details of the project can be found at <https://engagekingston.com/dietz-stadium-andretta-pool-improvements> which also includes the presentation I will summarize at Finance.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Respectfully Submitted,

Steven T. Noble
Mayor

DIETZ STADIUM & ANDRETTA POOL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT: PRELIMINARY* BUDGET STATUS, 8/25/21

Total Project Cost for Phases 1A, 1B, 1C & Phase 2: \$18,680,220	Estimated Construction Cost	CPL Engineering Design Fee	Construction Inspections (estimated)	Total
Amount Needed to Raise: \$13,680,220				
Phase 2				
Scoreboard:	\$ 440,000	\$ 33,000	\$ 4,950	\$ 477,950
Grandstand Repairs and Upgrades:	\$ 1,540,000	\$ 115,500	\$ 17,325	\$ 1,672,825
Electrical Upgrades:	\$ 235,000	\$ 17,625	\$ 2,644	\$ 255,269
Toilet Room/Locker Room Upgrades:	\$ 2,120,000	\$ 159,000	\$ 23,850	\$ 2,302,850
Gateway Improvements:	\$ 670,000	\$ 50,250	\$ 7,538	\$ 727,788
Press Box Renovation:	\$ 305,000	\$ 22,875	\$ 2,125	\$ 330,000
Pre-Fabricated Compostable Toilet Building:	\$ 60,000	\$ 4,050	\$ 608	\$ 64,658
New Concession Stand:	\$ 380,000	\$ 25,650	\$ 3,848	\$ 409,498
Resurface Track and Replace Turf Field:	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 112,500	\$ 16,875	\$ 1,629,375
Relocate Basketball Court by Pool:	\$ 100,000	\$ 7,500	\$ 1,125	\$ 108,625
Expanded Practice Area SE Track:	\$ 275,000	\$ 20,625	\$ 3,094	\$ 298,719
Extend Perimeter Access Drive:	\$ 200,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 2,250	\$ 217,250
Renovate Andretta Pool House:	\$ 736,000	\$ 55,200	\$ 8,280	\$ 799,480
Power for Food Trucks:	\$ 50,000	\$ 3,750	\$ 565	\$ 54,315
Master Plan Site Work:	\$ 5,725,000	\$ 429,375	\$ 64,423	\$ 6,217,798
Phase 2 Total:	\$ 13,856,000	\$ 1,036,350	\$ 153,100	\$ 15,566,850
Mark up related to COVID Pandemic**:				\$ 18,680,220
*Preliminary budget numbers based on schematic designs. Budget numbers may adjust based on development of designs.				
**Current temporary industry trends due to COVID Pandemic. Estimated 4% increase in labor costs, estimated 20-30% increase in material costs, and extended construction schedules due to material and other pandemic related delays. These marks ups may change depending on project schedule and future construction industry trends.				
DRI Funds Expended on Phases 1A/1B/1C:				\$ 890,321
Remaining DRI Grant Funds:				\$ 1,609,679
Confirmed Green Infrastructure Site Grants for Parking Lots:				\$ 2,500,000
Amount Needed to Raise				\$ 13,680,220



161

Bond

RESOLUTION 192 of 2021

**RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON,
NEW YORK, AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE AND BONDING IN THE
AMOUNT OF \$550,000 FOR A FIRE ENGINE FOR THE CITY OF KINGSTON
FIRE DEPARTMENT**

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman: Scott-
Childress, Davis, Hirsch, Schabot,

WHEREAS, request has been made to purchase a fire engine for the Kingston
Fire Department; and

WHEREAS, the current fire engine is an aging engine and has many issues
and problems; and

WHEREAS, the purchase of this fire engine at this time would save
taxpayers about \$100,000 and would completely overhaul the entire fleet, meaning that
the Fire Department would not need to consider replacing Fire apparatus for ten (10)
years.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1. That the Common Council of the City of Kingston, New York,
authorizes the purchase and bonding of a fire engine for the Kingston Fire Department in
the amount of \$550,000.

SECTION 2 . This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____,
_____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____,
_____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

192

1THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT

REQUEST DESCRIPTION

INTERNAL TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION _____
CLAIMS _____

CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____
BUDGET MODIFICATION _____
ZONING _____

TRANSFER _____
BONDING REQUEST X _____
OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Fire

DATE: 9/8/2021

Description:

Request bonding authorization in the amount of \$550,000 to purchase a Fire Engine for the City of Kingston Fire Department.

Estimated Financial Impact: \$ 550,000 Signature _____

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman		
Don Tallerman, Ward 5		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		

192

F+A

CITY OF KINGSTON
Kingston Fire Department
mbrown@kingston-ny.gov

Mark Brown, Fire Chief



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Mayor Steve Noble
Council President Andrea Shaut,
Finance Chairman Reynolds Scott-Childress

Throughout my tenure as Fire Chief I have attempted to maintain and replace Fire apparatus. When I took over as Chief we had an aging fleet, through hard work and cooperation from the Mayor and Council we have replaced 2 Engine's and a Ladder truck. The only apparatus left is an aging Engine. This engine was one of the first with a new emission system when it was purchased. That being said being the first generation system it not always a good thing. This has created many issues and problems with this Engine.

I recently received a call from the Emergency One sales man who sold us the 2 previous Engine's. He stated that if we were to purchase another Engine before the end of October there will be a minimal increase from price of the Engine we purchased in 2020. Emergency One has already stated that they see as much as a 17% increase in pricing by the end of October.

I believe that we should purchase the Engine now, this would save the taxpayers about \$100,000 dollars. This purchase would completely overhaul the entire fleet. This means that the Fire Department would not need to consider replacing Fire apparatus for 10 years.

The total purchase price would under \$550,000. I will be available to attend the Finance Committee meeting to speak on this matter.

Respectfully,

Mark Brown
Fire Chief
Kingston Fire Department

Cc: Comptroller John Tuey

193
Bond

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AN ORDINANCE IN RELATION TO THE TRAFFIC ON THE PUBLIC STREETS OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, ADDING HANDICAP PARKING ON LEVAN STREET

Sponsored By: Public Safety/General Government Committee:
Alderman Davis, Koop, Scott-Childress, Ventura
Morell, Hirsch

WHEREAS, in the interest of safety and the needs of residents, parking on the street must be regulated.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1- ARTICLE 7, SECTION 390-57, SCHEDULE XX, "HANDICAP PARKING" is hereby amended by ADDING the following:

45 Levan Street

SECTION 2- All ordinances and parts thereof, inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed

SECTION 3- This resolution shall take place immediately after passage, approval and publication as provided by law.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

194

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

PUBLIC SAFETY/GENERAL GOVERNMENT
COMMITTEE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: DPW

DATE: 9/22

Description: _____

Request a handicap parking sign at
45 Levan Street, Placard # 4850088

Signature: _____

Motion by RSC

Seconded by MT

Action Required: _____

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Tony Davis, Chairman <i>[Signature]</i>	✓	
Doug Koop Patrick O'Reilly Ward 7	✓	
Rennie Scott-Childress, Ward 3	✓	
Jeffrey Ventura Morell, Ward 1	✓	
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	✓	

10

Sills, Dee

BS/66

From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Friday, September 03, 2021 11:40 AM
To: Sills, Dee
Subject: Fwd: Handicapped Parking Sign Request 45 Levan Street

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Hirsch, Michele" <mhirsch@kingston-ny.gov>
Date: September 3, 2021 at 12:22:02 AM EDT
To: "Shaut, Andrea" <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>
Cc: "Tinti, Elisa" <emtinti@kingston-ny.gov>, "Davis, Tony" <tdavis@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: Handicapped Parking Sign Request 45 Levan Street

Dear President Shaut,

Please accept this communication to request a Handicapped Parking sign at 45 Levan Street. The placard number is 4850088; please reference the attached photo.

Thank you.

With kind regards,

Michele Hirsch
Alderwoman, Ward 9

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AN ORDINANCE IN RELATION TO THE TRAFFIC ON THE PUBLIC STREETS OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, ADDING HANDICAP PARKING ON STEPHAN STREET

Sponsored By: Public Safety/General Government Committee:
Alderman Davis, Koop, Scott-Childress, Ventura
Morell, Hirsch

WHEREAS, in the interest of safety and the needs of residents, parking on the street must be regulated.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1- ARTICLE 7, SECTION 390-57, SCHEDULE XX, "HANDICAP PARKING" is hereby amended by ADDING the following:

66 Stephan Street

SECTION 2- All ordinances and parts thereof, inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed

SECTION 3- This resolution shall take place immediately after passage, approval and publication as provided by law.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

PUBLIC SAFETY/GENERAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

DATE: 9/22

Request handicap sign located at 66 Stephen Street

Signature:

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Tony Davis, Chairman <i>Anthony Davis</i>	✓	
Doug Jones Patrick O'Reilly Ward 2	✓	
Rennie Scott-Childress, Ward 3	✓	
Jeffrey Ventura Morell, Ward 1	✓	
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	✓	

2

PS/GG

Tinti, Elisa

From: Davis, Tony
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2021 1:10 PM
To: Tinti, Elisa; Shaut, Andrea
Subject: RE: Public Safety meeting

The other item that should be on the list is handicap sign for Charles Finch at 66 Stephan Street.

Again, this item can wait until next month as well.

On Aug 18, 2021 10:10 AM, "Tinti, Elisa" <emtinti@kingston-ny.gov> wrote:
Done!

Elisa Tinti

City Clerk and Registrar
City of Kingston

(845) 334-3914 Office
(845) 334-3918 Fax

Kingston City Clerk Webpage



From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 9:53 AM
To: Davis, Tony <tdavis@kingston-ny.gov>
Cc: Tinti, Elisa <emtinti@kingston-ny.gov>; Smith, Summer <ssmith@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Safety meeting

Sounds good, Tony. Just email the council members and Dan G to let them know.

Elisa – can you make the change on the website?

Summer – see thread below. Tony is cancelled Public Safety this month. Not sure if you made an FB event, but if you did, can you delete it?

Thank you!
Andrea

From: Davis, Tony
Sent: Wednesday, August 18, 2021 7:07 AM
To: Shaut, Andrea <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>
Cc: Tinti, Elisa <emtinti@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Safety meeting

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AN ORDINANCE IN RELATION TO THE TRAFFIC ON THE PUBLIC STREETS OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, ADDING HANDICAP PARKING ON WALL STREET

Sponsored By: Public Safety/General Government Committee:
Alderman Davis, Koop, Scott-Childress, Ventura
Morell, Hirsch

WHEREAS, in the interest of safety and the needs of residents, parking on the street must be regulated.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1- ARTICLE 7, SECTION 390-57, SCHEDULE XX, "HANDICAP PARKING" is hereby amended by ADDING the following:

On the left side of meter 342, located on or near 325 Wall Street

SECTION 2- All ordinances and parts thereof, inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed

SECTION 3- This resolution shall take place immediately after passage, approval and publication as provided by law.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

196

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

PUBLIC SAFETY/GENERAL GOVERNMENT
COMMITTEE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: DPW

DATE: 9/22

Description: _____

Request handicap sign on the left side
of meter 342 located on/or near
325 Wall St.

Signature: _____

Motion by MH

Seconded by RSC

Action Required: _____

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Tony Davis, Chairman <i>Anthony A.</i>	☒	☐
Doug King Patrick O'Reilly Ward 2	☒	☐
Rennie Scott-Childress, Ward 3	☒	☐
Jeffrey Ventura Morell, Ward 1	☒	☐
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	☒	☐

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AN ORDINANCE IN RELATION TO THE TRAFFIC ON THE PUBLIC STREETS OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, REMOVING HANDICAP PARKING ON LEVAN STREET

Sponsored By: Public Safety/General Government Committee:
Alderman Davis, Koop, Scott-Childress, Ventura
Morell, Hirsch

WHEREAS, in the interest of safety and the needs of residents, parking on the street must be regulated.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1- ARTICLE 7, SECTION 390-57, SCHEDULE XX, "HANDICAP PARKING" is hereby amended by REMOVING the following:

One of two handicap parking signs at 49 Levan Street

SECTION 2- All ordinances and parts thereof, inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed

SECTION 3- This resolution shall take place immediately after passage, approval and publication as provided by law.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

197

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

PUBLIC SAFETY/GENERAL GOVERNMENT
COMMITTEE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: DPU

DATE: 9/22

Description:

Request removal of one (1) Handicapped
Parking Sign (SPD) at 49 Levan Street. Owner
of building requested that one (1) of two (2) signs
be removed as only one tenant requires sign.

Signature: _____

Motion by MH

Seconded by Rse

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Tony Davis, Chairman <i>Artem D</i>	✓	
Patrick O'Reilly Ward 2 <i>Deey Kong</i>	✓	
Rennie Scott-Childress, Ward 3	✓	
Jeffrey Ventura Morell, Ward 1	✓	
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	✓	

7

PSK

Sills, Dee

From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Friday, September 03, 2021 11:40 AM
To: Sills, Dee
Subject: Fwd: Handicapped Parking Sign Removal at 49 Levan Street

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Hirsch, Michele" <mhirsch@kingston-ny.gov>
Date: September 3, 2021 at 12:01:34 AM EDT
To: "Shaut, Andrea" <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>
Cc: "Tinti, Elisa" <emtinti@kingston-ny.gov>, "Davis, Tony" <tdavis@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: Handicapped Parking Sign Removal at 49 Levan Street

Dear President Shaut,

Please accept this communication to request the removal of one Handicapped Parking spot/sign at 49 Levan Street. The owner of the building has requested that one of the two Handicapped Parking spots be removed as only one tenant in the building requires a Handicapped parking sign at this time.

Thank you.

With kind regards,

Michele Hirsch
Alderwoman, Ward 9

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AN ORDINANCE IN RELATION TO THE TRAFFIC ON THE PUBLIC STREETS OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, REMOVING HANDICAP PARKING ON LEVAN STREET

Sponsored By: Public Safety/General Government Committee:
Alderman Davis, Koop, Scott-Childress, Ventura
Morell, Hirsch

WHEREAS, in the interest of safety and the needs of residents, parking on the street must be regulated.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1- ARTICLE 7, SECTION 390-57, SCHEDULE XX, “HANDICAP PARKING’ is hereby amended by REMOVING the following:

42 Levan Street

SECTION 2- All ordinances and parts thereof, inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed

SECTION 3- This resolution shall take place immediately after passage, approval and publication as provided by law.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

199

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

PUBLIC SAFETY/GENERAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: <u>DPW</u>	DATE: <u>9/22</u>
Description: _____ Request removal of handicap parking sign located at 42 Levan Street.	
Signature: _____	

Motion by RSC

Seconded by mt

Action Required: _____

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Tony Davis, Chairman <i>Anthony Davis</i>	✓	
Doug Kuop Patrick O'Reilly, Ward 2	✓	
Rennie Scott-Childress, Ward 3	✓	
Jeffrey Ventura Morell, Ward 1	✓	
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	✓	

5
PS/GG

Sills, Dee

From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Friday, September 03, 2021 11:40 AM
To: Sills, Dee
Subject: Fwd: Handicapped Parking Sign Removal at 42 Levan Street

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Hirsch, Michele" <mhirsch@kingston-ny.gov>
Date: September 3, 2021 at 12:08:35 AM EDT
To: "Shaut, Andrea" <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>
Cc: "Tinti, Elisa" <emtinti@kingston-ny.gov>, "Davis, Tony" <tdavis@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: Handicapped Parking Sign Removal at 42 Levan Street

Dear President Shaut,

Please accept this communication to request the removal of the Handicapped Parking sign at 42 Levan Street as the homeowner that required the sign has passed away.

Thank you.

With kind regards,

Michele Hirsch
Alderwoman, Ward 9

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AN ORDINANCE IN RELATION TO THE TRAFFIC ON THE PUBLIC STREETS OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, REMOVING HANDICAP PARKING ON GROSS STREET

Sponsored By: Public Safety/General Government Committee:
Alderman Davis, Koop, Scott-Childress, Ventura
Morell, Hirsch

WHEREAS, in the interest of safety and the needs of residents, parking on the street must be regulated.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1- ARTICLE 7, SECTION 390-57, SCHEDULE XX, “HANDICAP PARKING’ is hereby amended by REMOVING the following:

111 Gross Street

SECTION 2- All ordinances and parts thereof, inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed

SECTION 3- This resolution shall take place immediately after passage, approval and publication as provided by law.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

199

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

PUBLIC SAFETY/GENERAL GOVERNMENT
COMMITTEE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: DPW

DATE: 9/22

Description:

Request removal of handicap signs located
at 111 Gross Street,

Signature: _____

Motion by

RSE

Seconded by

DK

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Tony Davis, Chairman <i>Anthony D</i>	✓	
<i>Doug Koo</i> Patrick O'Reilly, Ward 2	✓	
Rennie Scott-Childress, Ward 3	✓	
Jeffrey Ventura Morell, Ward 1	✓	
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	✓	

③

PS/66

Tinti, Elisa

From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Monday, August 30, 2021 9:09 AM
To: Tinti, Elisa
Cc: Schabot, Steven
Subject: FW: Handicapped Sign Removal

Good morning Elisa,

Can you add the below communication to my folder for September?

Thank you,
Andrea

From: Schabot, Steven
Sent: Sunday, August 29, 2021 4:24 PM
To: Shaut, Andrea <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>
Cc: Davis, Tony <tdavis@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: Handicapped Sign Removal

Owners of 111 Gross Street would like the handicapped signs removed; no longer needed.

Thank you

Steve Schabot

Alderman 8th Ward

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COMMON COUNCIL CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AMENDING SECTION 390-103, SCHEDULE XX, LOADING ZONE

Sponsored By: Public Safety/General Government Committee:
Alderman Davis, Koop, Scott-Childress, Ventura
Morell, Hirsch

WHEREAS, a request has been made to amend Section 390-103, Schedule XX, Loading Zone by creating a loading zone on the left side of meter number 341 located at or near 317 Wall Street; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the City to amend Section 390-103, Schedule XX Loading Zone.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1-That the Common Council of the City of Kingston, New York, authorizes the amendment of Section 390-103, Schedule XX, by adding a "LOADING ZONE" on the left side of meter #341 located at or near 317 Wall Street.

SECTION 2- All ordinances and parts thereof, inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed

SECTION 3- This resolution shall take place immediately after passage, approval and publication as provided by law.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

PUBLIC SAFETY/GENERAL GOVERNMENT
COMMITTEE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: DPW

DATE: 9/22

Description:

Request loading zone to the left side
of meter 341 located at or near
317 Wall Street

Signature: _____

Motion by

RSC

Seconded by

MT

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Tony Davis, Chairman <u>Anthony D.</u>	✓	
<u>Doug Kelly</u> Patrick O'Reilly, Ward 2	✓	
Rennie Scott-Childress, Ward 3	✓	
Jeffrey Ventura Morell, Ward 1	✓	
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	✓	

RESOLUTION 201 OF 2021

MEMORIALIZING RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL, OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, PROCLAIM NOVEMBER 26, FROM THIS DAY FORWARD, AS SOJOURNER TRUTH DAY IN THE CITY OF KINGSTON

Laws & Rules Committee: Alderman Worthington,
O'Reilly, Tallerman, Scott-Childress

Sponsored By:

WHEREAS, Sojourner Truth, nicknamed “the Daughter of Esopus”, born enslaved, was given the name Isabella Baumfree at birth. She was born near Rondout Creek in the Town of Hurley, New York. Although her exact birth date is unknown, it is believed she was born around 1797. Between the years 1640 and 1827 there were thousands of Africans and African Americans enslaved in Ulster County; The infrastructure of Ulster County was built by highly skilled enslaved people and the economy was driven by their labor; and

WHEREAS, the stone houses in which she was enslaved still stand, bearing witness to those who built them; as the youngest of at least 10 children, many of Truth’s siblings were kidnapped for sale. Truth was sold for the first time at nine years old; after years of enduring physical and sexual abuse, Truth escaped on foot carrying her infant daughter, Sophia, from the enslaver that had tortured her, having to leave behind her 3 other children. Though pursued, she was able to take refuge with a local family from 1826-1827; and

WHEREAS, Truth was a self-educated intellectual; fluent in English and Dutch. In 1843 Truth was compelled to change her name, recognizing the power of her own image. Her autobiography, The Narrative of Sojourner Truth, focuses on seeking justice and the empowerment of Women and People of Color. Truth would come to represent the thousands of voices and stories from this area that were never shared or cherished; Truth’s unprecedented barefoot walk through Kingston to the County Courthouse to free her young son, Peter, from illegal enslavement is a testament to her strength, resistance, and sense of justice; and

WHEREAS, by recognizing Sojourner Truth every year, we recognize her victory as a local and national hero whose acknowledgment is long overdue. Truth remains a transcendental Black Woman. She was a true anti-racist, actively working against the injustices of her day. She passed away on November 26, 1883, however her legacy lives on through the present and ongoing fight for justice today; and

WHEREAS, in remembering Truth’s legacy, we should be thankful for the work done in the past, thankful for our ability to enact change in the present, and thankful for the hope

of equity and justice in the future. Let us all be inspired by Sojourner Truth's brave activism.

WHEREAS, the values that Sojourner Truth lived by, such as abolitionism and anti-racism, are the values that we hope the Kingston community, including the local government, reflect in their actions. In the past, although not all elected officials serving Kingston are responsible, there have been policies and inactions from local government that have not reflected a commitment to antiracism.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that we will take this moment, brought to us by a coalition of Kingston activists, to take accountability and commit to prioritizing the marginalized community members that reside in our area. With the help of local organizations and individuals already committed to anti-racism, we shall strive to create a more inclusive, equitable Kingston.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City of Kingston Common Council proclaim November 26th as Sojourner Truth Day in the City of Kingston and urge all residents to recognize that this is a day for people of all races, creeds and backgrounds to celebrate and come together in unity.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of
_____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of
_____, 2021

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

195

Whereas, Sojourner Truth, nicknamed "the Daughter of Esopus", born enslaved, was given the name Isabella Baumfree at birth. She was born near Rondout Creek in the Town of Hurley, New York. Although her exact birth date is unknown, it is believed she was born around 1797. Between the years 1640 and 1827 there were thousands of Africans and African Americans enslaved in Ulster County; The infrastructure of Ulster County was built by highly skilled enslaved people and the economy was driven by their labor; and

Whereas, The stone houses in which she was enslaved still stand, bearing witness to those who built them; as the youngest of at least 10 children, many of Truth's siblings were kidnapped for sale. Truth was sold for the first time at nine years old; after years of enduring physical and sexual abuse, Truth escaped on foot carrying her infant daughter, Sophia, from the enslaver that had tortured her, having to leave behind her 3 other children. Though pursued, she was able to take refuge with a local family from 1826-1827; and

Whereas, Truth was a self educated intellectual; fluent in English and Dutch. In 1843 Truth was compelled to change her name, recognizing the power of her own image. Her autobiography, The Narrative of Sojourner Truth, focuses on seeking justice and the empowerment of Women and People Of Color. Truth would come to represent the thousands of voices and stories from this area that were never shared or cherished; Truth's unprecedented barefoot walk through Kingston to the County Courthouse to free her young son, Peter, from illegal enslavement is a testament to her strength, resistance, and sense of justice; and

Whereas, by recognizing Sojourner Truth every year, we recognize her victory as a local and national hero who's acknowledgment is long overdue. Truth remains a transcendental Black Woman. She was a true anti-racist, actively working against the injustices of her day. She passed away on November 26, 1883, however her legacy lives on through the present and ongoing fight for justice today; and

Whereas, In remembering Truth's legacy, we should be thankful for the work done in the past, thankful for our ability to enact change in the present, and thankful for the hope of equity and justice in the future. Let us all be inspired by Sojourner Truth's brave activism.

Whereas, The values that Sojourner Truth lived by, such as abolitionism and anti-racism, are the values that we hope the Kingston community, including the local government, reflect in their actions. In the past, although not all elected officials serving Kingston are responsible, there have been policies and inactions from local government that have not reflected a commitment to antiracism.

Therefore, Be It Resolved, that we will take this moment, brought to us by a coalition of Kingston activists, to take accountability and commit to prioritizing the marginalized community members that reside in our area. With the help of local organizations and individuals already committed to anti-racism, we shall strive to create a more inclusive, equitable Kingston.

Be It Further Resolved, that the City of Kingston Common Council proclaim November 26th as Sojourner Truth Day in the City of Kingston and urge all residents to recognize that this is a day for people of all races, creeds and backgrounds to celebrate and come together in unity.



Tinti, Elisa

From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2021 9:54 AM
To: Tinti, Elisa
Cc: Jessica Alonso
Subject: Communication - June
Attachments: Sojourner Truth Proclamation Draft 2021 (1).docx

Good morning Elisa,

Members of the YMCA Farm Project have been working on presenting to the council the idea of a permanent Sojourner Truth day here in Kingston. I have attached a draft of their resolution and wish to include this as a communication for this month's committee meeting. Can you please add this email, as well as the attached, to my folder?

Thank you,

Andrea Shaut

Council President, City of Kingston

Adopt 11/26 as Sojourner Truth
#1 - Don
#2 - Pat

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

LAWS & RULES
COMMITTEE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: _____

DATE: 9/29/21 _____

Description: The City of Kingston Common Council shall proclaim November 26th as Sojourner Truth Day in the City of Kingston and urge all residents to recognize that this is a day for people of all races, creeds and backgrounds to celebrate and come together in unity.

Signature: Rita Worthington _____

Motion by RSC _____

Seconded by P. O'Reilly _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____


Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Jeffrey Ventura Morell, Ward 1 Chairman		
Rita Worthington Ward 4 	x	
Reynolds Scott-Childress, Ward 3		
Patrick O'Reilly, Ward 7		
Donald Tallerman, Ward 5		

RESOLUTION 202 of 2021

RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, TO DECLARE THE COMMON COUNCIL AS LEAD AGENCY IN THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT OUTFALL MODIFICATION PROJECT

Sponsored By: Laws & Rules Committee: Alderman:
Ventura Morell, O'Reilly, Scott-Childress,
Tallerman, Worthington

WHEREAS, the Common Council has reviewed and completed Part 1 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form for the Wastewater Treatment Plant Outfall Modification Project (the Action); and

WHEREAS, the project is located at 124-134 East Strand Street, Kingston, New York (SBL 56.43-6-6); and

WHEREAS, the Action is determined to be a Type 1 Action as defined in 6NYCRR Part 617.4 of the SEQR Act, and a coordinated review must be undertaken; and

WHEREAS, circulation of the Common Council's intent to seek Lead Agency was completed on August 4, 2021 to all identified involved and interested agencies; and

WHEREAS, the required 30 days have passed since circulation of the City of Kingston Common Council's intent to seek Lead Agency and no objection has been received.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. That 6 NYCRR 617, the City of Kingston Common Council hereby affirms its role as Lead Agency in the SEQR environmental review of the Action as described above.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

202

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

LAWS & RULES
COMMITTEE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: Engineering

DATE: 9-15-21

Description: Resolution 1 will affirm the City as lead agency under SEQRA; Resolution #2 will make a negative declaration of environmental Significance for the WWTP upgrades project

Motion by P R

Seconded by RS

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action x

Type II Action

Unlisted Action

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: x

Conditioned Negative Declaration:

Seek Lead Agency Status:

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance:

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Jeffrey Ventura Morell, Chairman		
Patrick O'Reilly Ward 7	✓	
Rennie Scott-Childress, Ward 3	✓	
Don Tallerman, Ward 5	✓	
Rita Worthington, Ward 4	✓	

RESOLUTION ____ OF 2021

RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, TO DECLARE THE COMMON COUNCIL AS LEAD AGENCY IN THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT OUTFALL MODIFICATION PROJECT

Sponsored By: Laws and Rules Committee: Alderman Ventura
Morell, Scott Childress, O'Reilly, Tallerman,
Worthington

WHEREAS, the Common Council has reviewed the completed Part I of the Full Environmental Assessment Form, for the Wastewater Treatment Plant Outfall Modification Project (the Action); and

WHEREAS, the project is located at #124-134 East Strand Street, Kingston, New York (SBL 56.43-6-6); and

WHEREAS, the Action is determined to be a Type I Action as defined in 6NYCRR Part 617.4 of the SEQR Act, and a coordinated review must be undertaken, and

WHEREAS, circulation of the Common Council's intent to seek Lead Agency was completed on August 4, 2021 to all identified Involved and Interested Agencies

WHEREAS, the required 30 days have passed since circulation of the City of Kingston Common Council's intent to seek Lead Agency and no objections have been received.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: That pursuant to 6NYRCC Part 617, the City of Kingston Common Council hereby affirms its role as Lead Agency in the SEQR environmental review of the Action as described above.

Section 2: That this resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

2

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of the City Engineer
jschultheis@kingston-ny.gov

John M. Schultheis, P.E., City Engineer



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

September 3, 2021

Andrea Shaut., Alderman-At-Large, President of the Common Council
Kingston City Hall
420 Broadway
Kingston, New York 12401

RE: Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) Upgrades - Finance

Dear President Shaut:

A design project has been underway since 2018 to design extensive improvements at the WWTP. The primary purpose of the project is to bring treated effluent into compliance with permit limits imposed by the NYSDEC in 2016. The permit imposed lowered ammonia concentration limits on the effluent in order to protect water quality in the Rondout Creek. The improvements are now designed and we expect to go forward with bidding the project in the coming months for construction in 2022.

At this time, I am requesting the Common Council authorize the following:

- Authorize borrowing for the project costs, which is estimated to be \$10,200,000, less the previously approved \$1,200,000 from an earlier bond ordinance. Thus the requested new authorized borrowing is \$9,000,000. A budget is attached for reference. Note that CWSRF no-interest loan funding is expected to be used for the City's share, however a bond authorization is still needed per the grant requirements. Grants already awarded to the City and a required contribution from the Town of Esopus are expected to reduce the net cost of the project to \$6,900,000. Additional grants have been applied for which would further reduce the net cost if received.
- Authorize the Mayor to request the required project financial contributions from the Town of Esopus under the terms of the existing inter-municipal agreement.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "J. Schultheis".

John M. Schultheis, P.E.
City Engineer

Cc: Steve Noble, Mayor
Ed Norman, Superintendent, Department of Public Works

Impact on Land

The project will result in a minor impact to land.

Site development activities involve 1296 cubic feet (48 cubic yards) granular fill material on the landward side of the PZ13 sheet cofferdam to grade over the proposed concrete encasement to accommodate future use for the site.

Ground disturbance will occur at the Wastewater Treatment Plant as well to facilitate the improvements to the outfall pipe and generator. The project areas disturbed by ground trenching will be seeded, mulched and stabilized.

No site grading or tree clearing is proposed as part of the project. No change in hydrology or water runoff patterns is occurring. The potential for erosion from the removal of grasses and other vegetation in the areas of disturbance will be mitigated by seeding and stabilization.

Impacts on Surface Water

The Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) identifies the Rondout Creek as a listed NRI segment. The project will not result in any significant adverse impacts to surface water of the Rondout Creek, and the outfall has been designed to create the least amount of streambed and streambank impacts as feasible.

Proposed work on the waterward side of the PZ13 sheet cofferdam involves 72 cubic feet of streambed disturbance. On the landward side of the PZ13 sheet cofferdam, 108 cubic feet of streambed disturbance is proposed. Overall, streambed material will be side casted at a height of no more than 1 foot. Waterward side material will be side casted within an eight-foot by nine-foot area, while landward side material will be side casted within a twelve-foot by nine-foot area. An existing car rests on the base of the streambed and will be removed from the waterway as part of the site construction resulting in 34 SF of streambed disturbance. A weighted chain turbidity curtain will be placed in the water around the proposed work during construction to reduce impact of turbidity. After the outfall is installed and the disturbed streambed material has settled, the turbidity curtain will be removed.

Work will occur near NYS Freshwater Tidal Wetland KE-11, which is 61.8-acres; however, the proposed work does not encroach into the wetland or regulated adjacent area.

The project's purpose is to improve water quality of the Rondout Creek by reducing the ammonia levels in the City of Kingston Wastewater Treatment Plant effluent as required by the New York State Department of Conservation (NYSDEC).

Impacts on Flooding

The project will not result in any significant adverse impacts to flooding. The Project is within the 100- or 500-year floodplain. FEMA depicts the site as being in regulatory floodway. The proposed work involves upgrades to existing facilities; therefore, current flooding conditions are not expected to increase due to the improvements. See the FEMA Flood Map provided with SEQRA Part 1 form.

Impacts on Plants and Animals

The project will not result in any significant adverse impacts to plants and animals.

Based on a review of the New York State Environmental Resource Mapper, potential habitats of five endangered or threatened species are within the area of the proposed work. The listed species involve both terrestrial and aquatic species. There is no tree clearing proposed as the work is within the Creek. Therefore, the Indiana Bat and Northern Long-eared Bat will not be affected by the work. The U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) Review also identified the two bats; again, the species are not expected to be impacted. No submerged aquatic vegetation is located near the work area; therefore, the Least Bittern is not expected to be affected by the work. No NYS rare or special concern species are within the project area.

While the project will require in-water work, an Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) report was conducted and concluded that the project site does not represent preferred habitat for marine EFH-designated species, and project-related impacts upon these life stages are considered unlikely.

Impact on Historical and Archeological Resources

The project will result not have an adverse impact on historical and archeological resources. The City has reviewed a letter from the NYS OPRHP dated October 9, 2020, which indicated that it will have no impact on archeological and/or historic resources listed in or eligible for the NYS and National Registers of Historic Places.

Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light

The project will not result in any significant adverse impacts on noise, odor, or light.

The proposed work has no external lights proposed.

The project will have no effect on odor and will improve existing noise conditions at the treatment plant. For noise, there will be new equipment installed with lower decibel sound generation.

Project :

Date :

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts
and
Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

Please refer to attached narrative sheet.

Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions

SEQR Status: ☐ Type 1 ☒ Unlisted

Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project: ☒ Part 1 ☒ Part 2 ☒ Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information
Kingston WWTP Outfall Modification Plan Set prepared by Tighe & Bond dated March 16, 2021.

and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the
City of Kingston Common Council as lead agency that:

☒ A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.

☐ B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:

There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).

☐ C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.

Name of Action: City of Kingston Wastewater Treatment Plant Outfall Modifications

Name of Lead Agency: City of Kingston Common Council

Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Andrea Shaut

Title of Responsible Officer: Alderman-At Large

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:

Date:

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

Date:

For Further Information:

Contact Person: John M Schultheis, PE

Address: 420 Broadway, Kingston, NY 12401

Telephone Number: 845-334-3967

E-mail: jschultheis@kingston-ny.gov

For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:

Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of)

Other involved agencies (if any)

Applicant (if any)

Environmental Notice Bulletin: <http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html>

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Agency Use Only [If applicable]

Project : _____

Date : _____

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.</i>				☐ NO	☒ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur		
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	☒	☐		
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	☒	☐		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	☒	☐		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a	☒	☐		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e	☒	☐		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q	☒	☐		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i	☒	☐		
h. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐		

2. Impact on Geological Features The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) ☒ NO ☐ YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: _____	E2g	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature: _____	E3c	☐	☐
c. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) ☐ NO ☒ YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h	☒	☐
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	☒	☐
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	☒	☐
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h	☒	☐
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	☒	☐
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	☒	☐
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	☒	☐
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	☒	☐
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	☒	☐
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	☒	☐
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d	☒	☐

I. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐
----------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer. ☒ NO ☐ YES (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	☐	☐
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source: _____	D2c	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	☐	☐
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l	☐	☐
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c	☐	☐
h. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. ☐ NO ☒ YES (See Part 1. E.2) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	☒	☐
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j	☒	☐
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k	☒	☐
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e	☒	☐
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	☒	☐
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	E1e	☒	☐

g. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐
----------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. ☒ NO ☐ YES (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO ₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF ₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2h	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s	☐	☐
f. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. m.-q.) ☐ NO ☒ YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o	☒	☐
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	☒	☐
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	☒	☐
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p	☒	☐

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c	☒	☐
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source: _____	E2n	☒	☐
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	☒	☐
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source: _____	E1b	☒	☐
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	☒	☐
j. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) ☒ NO ☐ YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	E2c, E3b	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).	E1a, E1b	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	E3b	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.	E1b, E3a	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	E1 a, E1b	☐	☐
f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	C2c, C3, D2c, D2d	☐	☐
g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	C2c	☐	☐
h. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.</i>			
		☒ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	☐ ☐	☐ ☐
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c	☐ ☐	☐ ☐
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	☐	☐
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile 1/2 -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g	☐	☐
g. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.</i>			
		☐ NO	☒ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e	☒	☐
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	☒	☐
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source: _____	E3g	☒	☐

d. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.	E3e, E3g, E3f	☐	☐
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	☐	☐
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	☐	☐

11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.			
		☒ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c	☐	☐
e. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.			
		☒ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	☐	☐
c. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. ☒ NO ☐ YES (See Part 1. D.2.j) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	☐	☐
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	☐	☐
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	☐	☐
f. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. ☒ NO ☐ YES (See Part 1. D.2.k) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	☐	☐
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	☐	☐
e. Other Impacts: _____			

15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting. ☐ NO ☒ YES (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m	☒	☐
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	☒	☐
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o	☒	☐

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	☒	☐
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	☒	☐
f. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

16. Impact on Human Health

The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.)

☒ NO

☐ YES

If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	☐	☐
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	☐	☐
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	☐	☐
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	☐	☐
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	☐	☐
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h	☐	☐
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g	☐	☐
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	☐	☐
m. Other impacts: _____			

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.</i>			
		☒ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	☐	☐
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	☐	☐
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3	☐	☐
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, E1b	☐	☐
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j	☐	☐
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	☐	☐
h. Other: _____		☐	☐

18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.</i>			
		☒ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	☐	☐
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	☐	☐
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	☐	☐
g. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

RESOLUTION 203 of 2021

RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, TO RENDER A DETERMINATION OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE

Sponsored By: Laws & Rules Committee: Alderman:
Ventura Morell, O'Reilly, Scott-Childress,
Tallerman, Worthington

WHEREAS, the Common Council has reviewed and completed Part 1 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form as well as the prepared Parts 2 and 3 for the Wastewater Treatment Plant Outfall Modification Project (the Action); and

WHEREAS, the project is located at 124-134 East Strand Street, Kingston, New York (SBL 56.43-6-6); and

WHEREAS, the Council determined the Action to be a Type 1 under 6NYCRR Part 617.4 of the SEQR Act, sought Lead Agency status, and circulated a request for such on August 4, 2021 to all identified involved agencies; and

WHEREAS, circulation of the Common Council's intent to seek Lead Agency was completed on August 4, 2021 to all identified involved and interested agencies; and

WHEREAS, by resolution the Common Council declared themselves lead agency and considered all documentation submitted to determine significance of the Action on the environment in compliance with the New York State Environmental Conservation Law (SEQR) and the regulations promulgated thereunder (the Regulations) by the New York Department of Environmental Conservation (6 NYCRR Part 617).

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. That pursuant to 6 NYCRR 617, the City of Kingston Common Council hereby renders a Determination of Negative Environmental Significance recognizing all conditions as described within the document presented and adopts the Negative Determination as final.

SECTION 2. That all identified Involved Agencies will be notified of the Common Council determination of this decision.

SECTION 3. That the Engineering Office is directed to submit notice to the State Environmental News Bulletin for publication as required by the statute.

SECTION 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

RESOLUTION ____ OF 2021

RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, ADOPTING A DETERMINATION OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE IN THE REVIEW OF THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT OUTFALL MODIFICATION PROJECT

Sponsored By: Laws and Rules Committee: Alderman Ventura
Morell, Scott Childress, O'Reilly, Tallerman,
Worthington

WHEREAS, the Common Council has reviewed the completed Part 1 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form, as well as the prepared Parts 2 & 3, for the Wastewater Treatment Plant Outfall Modification Project (the Action); and

WHEREAS, the project is located at #124-134 East Strand Street, Kingston, New York (SBL 56.43-6-6); and

WHEREAS, the Council determined the Action to be a Type I under 6NYCRR Part 617.4 of the SEQR Act, sought lead agency status, and circulated a request for such on August 4, 2021 to all identified Involved Agencies; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution dated _____, the Common Council declared themselves lead agency and considered all documentation submitted to determine significance of the Action on the environment in compliance with the New York State Environmental Conservation Law ("SEQR") and the regulations promulgated there under (the "Regulations") by the New York Department of Environmental Conservation (6 NYCRR, Part 617); and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: That pursuant to 6 NYRCC Part 617, the City of Kingston Common Council hereby renders a Determination of Negative Environmental Significance, recognizing all conditions as described within the document presented and adopts the Negative Determination as final.

Section 2: That all identified Involved Agencies will be notified of the Kingston Common Council determination of this decision.

Section 3: That the Engineering Office is directed to submit notice to the State Environmental News Bulletin for publication as required by the statute.

Section 4: That this resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project: Kingston Wastewater Treatment Plant Outfall Modification		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map): 124-134 East Strand Street, Kingston, NY 12401		
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need): The City of Kingston's Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) will be finance, have designed and construct plant upgrades including process equipment improvements and a new outfall structure, to comply with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) updated individual SPDES permit for ammonia nitrogen limits and to make other safety and operational upgrades to the plant. The improvements will require a modification of SPDES Permit #NY0029351. The City is seeking financing for the improvements through Environmental Facilities Corporation State Revolving Fund (Application #C3-5374-08-00). Plant improvements consist of process aeration upgrades to blowers, tanks, diffusers, controls and electric service. The outfall improvements consist of installing the water-side portion of the outfall extension and improving the existing headwall structure. This involves the installation of 24 HP12 piles to support 2 twin 28" diameter sewer pipes along the Rondout Creek Bed, a marine mattress, a stormwater pipe, and a PZ13 sheet pile to act as a cofferdam during construction and a permanent bulkhead post-construction. The discharge points of the outfall pipes will rest at an elevation of about 23'6" below the mean high water mark.		
Name of Applicant/Sponsor: Steve Noble, City of Kingston	Telephone: 845-334-3967	
	E-Mail: jschultheis@kingston-ny.gov	
Address: 420 Broadway		
City/PO: Kingston	State: NY	Zip Code: 12401
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role): Brandee Nelson, PE, LEED AP	Telephone: 518-965-5786	
	E-Mail: BNelson@tighebond.com	
Address: 47 West Market Street, Suite 2		
City/PO: Rhinebeck	State: NY	Zip Code: 12572
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone:	
	E-Mail:	
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)		
Government Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application Date (Actual or projected)
a. City Counsel, Town Board, ☒ Yes ☐ No or Village Board of Trustees	Law & Rule Committee Review, Common Council Resolution - SEQR Determination	August 2021
b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commission ☐ Yes ☒ No		
c. City, Town or Village Zoning Board of Appeals ☐ Yes ☒ No		
d. Other local agencies ☐ Yes ☒ No	Kingston Heritage Area Commission - LWRP Comments to DOS; Town of Esopus - Referral	August 2021
e. County agencies ☐ Yes ☒ No	Ulster Co Planning Board - 239L Referral	August 2021
f. Regional agencies ☐ Yes ☒ No		
g. State agencies ☒ Yes ☐ No	DEC Article 15, 401 Water Qual Cert, DOS FCAF, OGS Lands Under Water, EFC Finance	April 2021
h. Federal agencies ☒ Yes ☐ No	ACOE Section 404 Clean Water Act Nationwide Permit	April 2021
i. Coastal Resources.		
i. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland Waterway?		☒ Yes ☐ No
ii. Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program?		☒ Yes ☐ No
iii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area?		☐ Yes ☒ No

C. Planning and Zoning

C.1. Planning and zoning actions.	
Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
- If Yes, complete sections C, F and G. - If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1	
C.2. Adopted land use plans.	
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway; Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?) ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If Yes, identify the plan(s): Remediation Sites: C356037, NYS Heritage Areas: Kingston	
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes, identify the plan(s):	

C.3. Zoning	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? RF-R Rondout Creek District	☒ Yes ☐ No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	☒ Yes ☐ No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	☐ Yes ☒ No
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located?	Kingston School District
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site?	City of Kingston Police Department
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site?	City of Kingston Fire Department
d. What parks serve the project site?	Kingston Point Rotary Park, Hasbrouck Park, Loughran Park

D. Project Details

D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, include all components)? Public wastewater treatment plant upgrades outfall repair and improvement	
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?	1.58 acres
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?	.01 acres
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	1.58 acres
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use?	☐ Yes ☒ No
i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, housing units, square feet)? % _____ Units: _____	
d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?	☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types) _____	
ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
iii. Number of lots proposed? _____	
iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum _____ Maximum _____	
e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases?	☐ Yes ☒ No
i. If No, anticipated period of construction: _____ months	
ii. If Yes:	
• Total number of phases anticipated _____	
• Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) _____ month _____ year	
• Anticipated completion date of final phase _____ month _____ year	
• Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progress of one phase may determine timing or duration of future phases: _____	

f. Does the project include new residential uses? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes, show numbers of units proposed.				
	<u>One Family</u>	<u>Two Family</u>	<u>Three Family</u>	<u>Multiple Family (four or more)</u>
Initial Phase	_____	_____	_____	_____
At completion of all phases	_____	_____	_____	_____

g. Does the proposed action include new non-residential construction (including expansions)? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes,	
i. Total number of structures _____ ii. Dimensions (in feet) of largest proposed structure: _____ height; _____ width; and _____ length iii. Approximate extent of building space to be heated or cooled: _____ square feet	

h. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that will result in the impoundment of any liquids, such as creation of a water supply, reservoir, pond, lake, waste lagoon or other storage? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes,	
i. Purpose of the impoundment: _____ ii. If a water impoundment, the principal source of the water: ☐ Ground water ☐ Surface water streams ☐ Other specify: _____ iii. If other than water, identify the type of impounded/contained liquids and their source. _____ iv. Approximate size of the proposed impoundment. Volume: _____ million gallons; surface area: _____ acres v. Dimensions of the proposed dam or impounding structure: _____ height; _____ length vi. Construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, concrete): _____	

D.2. Project Operations

a. Does the proposed action include any excavation, mining, or dredging, during construction, operations, or both? (Not including general site preparation, grading or installation of utilities or foundations where all excavated materials will remain onsite) ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes:	
i. What is the purpose of the excavation or dredging? _____ ii. How much material (including rock, earth, sediments, etc.) is proposed to be removed from the site? • Volume (specify tons or cubic yards): _____ • Over what duration of time? _____ iii. Describe nature and characteristics of materials to be excavated or dredged, and plans to use, manage or dispose of them. _____ _____ iv. Will there be onsite dewatering or processing of excavated materials? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, describe. _____ _____ v. What is the total area to be dredged or excavated? _____ acres vi. What is the maximum area to be worked at any one time? _____ acres vii. What would be the maximum depth of excavation or dredging? _____ feet viii. Will the excavation require blasting? ☐ Yes ☐ No ix. Summarize site reclamation goals and plan: _____ _____ _____	

b. Would the proposed action cause or result in alteration of, increase or decrease in size of, or encroachment into any existing wetland, waterbody, shoreline, beach or adjacent area? ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes:	
i. Identify the wetland or waterbody which would be affected (by name, water index number, wetland map number or geographic description): Rondout Creek, R1UBV. Riverine _____ _____	

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:
The streambed permanent disturbance proposed is 691 SF from HP12 piles, pipelines, a stormwater pipe, and marine mattress (this includes the disturbance removal of a car that currently rests on the streambed). The streambank permanent disturbance is 51 LF (1.45 SF) from a P213 sheet pile bulkhead installation.

iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, describe: Pile installation, marine mattress, earth fill

iv. Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

- acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed: _____
- expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion: _____
- purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access): _____
- proposed method of plant removal: _____
- if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s): _____

v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance: _____

c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: _____ gallons/day

ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes:

- Name of district or service area: _____
- Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Is the project site in the existing district? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Is expansion of the district needed? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Do existing lines serve the project site? ☐ Yes ☐ No

iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes:

- Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: _____
- Source(s) of supply for the district: _____

iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes:

- Applicant/sponsor for new district: _____
- Date application submitted or anticipated: _____
- Proposed source(s) of supply for new district: _____

v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project: _____

vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity: _____ gallons/minute.

d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: _____ gallons/day

ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all components and approximate volumes or proportions of each): _____

iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes:

- Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: _____
- Name of district: _____
- Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Is the project site in the existing district? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Is expansion of the district needed? ☐ Yes ☐ No

• Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? • Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes: • Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: _____	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	
☐ Yes ☐ No	
If Yes: • Applicant/sponsor for new district: _____ • Date application submitted or anticipated: _____ • What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge? _____	
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including specifying proposed receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans): _____ _____	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste: _____ _____ _____	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes: i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel? _____ Square feet or _____ acres (impervious surface) _____ Square feet or _____ acres (parcel size) ii. Describe types of new point sources. _____ iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent properties, groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)? _____ _____ • If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands: _____ • Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
iv. Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	
☐ Yes ☐ No	
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	
☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes, identify: i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles) ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers) iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes: i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year) ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate: • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF₆) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric): _____

ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring): _____

i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry or landfill operations? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust): _____

j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): ☐ Morning ☐ Evening ☐ Weekend
☐ Randomly between hours of _____ to _____.

ii. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks): _____

iii. Parking spaces: Existing _____ Proposed _____ Net increase/decrease _____

iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking? ☐ Yes ☐ No

v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe: _____

vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? ☐ Yes ☐ No

vii. Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? ☐ Yes ☐ No

viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? ☐ Yes ☐ No

k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: _____

ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other): _____

iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation? ☐ Yes ☐ No

l. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.

i. During Construction:		ii. During Operations:	
• Monday - Friday:	7am - 6pm	• Monday - Friday:	24/7
• Saturday:	N/A	• Saturday:	24/7
• Sunday:	N/A	• Sunday:	24/7
• Holidays:	N/A	• Holidays:	24/7

m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration: There is expected to be noise exceeding ambient levels when piles are being driven and general construction noise during the week (Monday-Friday) between 7am and 6pm during the construction period.	
ii. Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? ☐ Yes ☒ No Describe: No tree clearing is proposed, all work is in-water or at the existing plant.	
n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes: i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? ☐ Yes ☐ No Describe:	
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Product(s) to be stored _____ ii. Volume(s) _____ per unit time _____ (e.g., month, year) iii. Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities: _____	
q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Describe proposed treatment(s):	
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility: • Construction: _____ tons per _____ (unit of time) • Operation : _____ tons per _____ (unit of time) ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste: • Construction: _____ • Operation: _____ iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: • Construction: _____ • Operation: _____	

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities): _____

ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:

- _____ Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or
- _____ Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment

iii. If landfill, anticipated site life: _____ years

t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility: _____

ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents: _____

iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated _____ tons/month

iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents: _____

v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes: provide name and location of facility: _____

If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility: _____

E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action

E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site

a. Existing land uses.

i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the project site.

☐ Urban ☐ Industrial ☒ Commercial ☐ Residential (suburban) ☐ Rural (non-farm)

☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☒ Aquatic ☒ Other (specify): Municipal

ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:

Site is the City's sewer treatment plant, east of the Rondout Riverport and Ole Savannah restaurant, and abuts the Rondout Creek.

b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.

Land use or Covertype	Current Acreage	Acreage After Project Completion	Change (Acres +/-)
• Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces	0		
• Forested	0	0	0
• Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)	0	0	0
• Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)	0	0	0
• Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	0	0	0
• Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)	0	0	0
• Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)	0	0	0
• Other Describe: <u>Permanent Streambed and Streambank</u>	0	0.00973	+0.00973

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 i. If Yes: explain: _____

d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes,
 i. Identify Facilities: _____

e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes:
 i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:
 • Dam height: _____ feet
 • Dam length: _____ feet
 • Surface area: _____ acres
 • Volume impounded: _____ gallons OR acre-feet
 ii. Dam's existing hazard classification: _____
 iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: _____

f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes:
 i. Has the facility been formally closed? ☐ Yes ☐ No
 • If yes, cite sources/documentation: _____
 ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: _____
 iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: _____

g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes:
 i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: _____

h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes:
 i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: ☒ Yes ☐ No
 ☐ Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): _____
 ☒ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s): C356037
 ☐ Neither database
 ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: _____
 iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): C356037, 356052, C356036, 546031
 iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s): _____
 Site C356037 includes lands owned by City of Kingston Waste Water Treatment Facility and B. Millens Scrap Yard for storage, which the outfall headwall falls within. Contaminates found during the remedial search are: benzene, benzo(a)anthracene, chrysene, petroleum products, barium, benzo(b)fluoranthene, arsenic, benzo(k)fluoranthene, indeno(1,2,3-CD)pyrene, dibenz(a,h)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene and lead.

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses? ☐ Yes ☒ No													
• If yes, DEC site ID number: _____ • Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): _____ • Describe any use limitations: _____ • Describe any engineering controls: _____ • Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? ☐ Yes ☐ No • Explain: _____													
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site													
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site? _____ 7+ feet													
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings? _____ %													
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 70%;">Cut and Fill Land (CF)</td> <td style="width: 30%; text-align: right;">100 %</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_____</td> <td style="text-align: right;">%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_____</td> <td style="text-align: right;">%</td> </tr> </table>		Cut and Fill Land (CF)	100 %	_____	%	_____	%						
Cut and Fill Land (CF)	100 %												
_____	%												
_____	%												
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: _____ 3 feet													
e. Drainage status of project site soils: <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">☒ Well Drained:</td> <td style="width: 70%; text-align: right;">100 % of site</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ Moderately Well Drained:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">% of site</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ Poorly Drained</td> <td style="text-align: right;">% of site</td> </tr> </table>		☒ Well Drained:	100 % of site	☐ Moderately Well Drained:	% of site	☐ Poorly Drained	% of site						
☒ Well Drained:	100 % of site												
☐ Moderately Well Drained:	% of site												
☐ Poorly Drained	% of site												
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">☒ 0-10%:</td> <td style="width: 70%; text-align: right;">100 % of site</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 10-15%:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">% of site</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 15% or greater:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">% of site</td> </tr> </table>		☒ 0-10%:	100 % of site	☐ 10-15%:	% of site	☐ 15% or greater:	% of site						
☒ 0-10%:	100 % of site												
☐ 10-15%:	% of site												
☐ 15% or greater:	% of site												
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes, describe: _____													
h. Surface water features.													
i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)? ☒ Yes ☐ No													
ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site? ☒ Yes ☐ No													
If Yes to either i or ii, continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.													
iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, state or local agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No													
iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information: <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;">• Streams:</td> <td style="width: 40%;">Name 855.4-1</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Classification C</td> </tr> <tr> <td>• Lakes or Ponds:</td> <td>Name</td> <td>Classification</td> </tr> <tr> <td>• Wetlands:</td> <td>Name Federal Waters, Federal Waters, Federal Waters,...</td> <td>Approximate Size</td> </tr> <tr> <td>• Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC)</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </table>		• Streams:	Name 855.4-1	Classification C	• Lakes or Ponds:	Name	Classification	• Wetlands:	Name Federal Waters, Federal Waters, Federal Waters,...	Approximate Size	• Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC)		
• Streams:	Name 855.4-1	Classification C											
• Lakes or Ponds:	Name	Classification											
• Wetlands:	Name Federal Waters, Federal Waters, Federal Waters,...	Approximate Size											
• Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC)													
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired waterbodies? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired: _____													
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway? ☒ Yes ☐ No													
j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain? ☒ Yes ☐ No													
k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain? ☐ Yes ☒ No													
l. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer? ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes:													
i. Name of aquifer: Principal Aquifer													

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site: Ducks _____ Fish Species _____ Aquatic Water Fowl _____	
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designation): _____ Tidal River, Freshwater Intertidal Shore, Freshwater Tidal Marsh ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation: DEC Environmental Resource Mapper iii. Extent of community/habitat: • Currently: _____ 74248.64, 6.0, 30.0 acres • Following completion of project as proposed: _____ 74,248.64, 6.0, 30.0 acres • Gain or loss (indicate + or -): _____ 0 acres	
o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened species? ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Species and listing (endangered or threatened): _____ Atlantic Sturgeon, Shortnose Sturgeon, Indiana Bat, Least Bittern, Northern Long-eared Bat	
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Species and listing: _____	
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shell fishing? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use: _____ Not expected to hinder these activities.	
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site	
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes, provide county plus district name/number: _____	
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present? ☐ Yes ☒ No i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site: _____ ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s): _____	
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a registered National Natural Landmark? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Nature of the natural landmark: ☐ Biological Community ☐ Geological Feature ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent: _____	
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. CEA name: _____ ii. Basis for designation: _____ iii. Designating agency and date: _____	

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places? ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: ☐ Archaeological Site ☒ Historic Building or District ii. Name: Eligible property: CORNELL SHOPS BUILDING, Eligible property: CORNELL STEAMBOAT CO BOILER SHOP, CATAWISSA (Coastal iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based: _____	
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): _____ ii. Basis for identification: _____	
h. Is the project site within five miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Identify resource: Estates District (ED)-15 ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or scenic byway, etc.): Hudson River iii. Distance between project and resource: 0.21 miles.	
i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: _____ ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666? ☐ Yes ☐ No	

F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.

If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.


Applicant/Sponsor Name Brandee Nelson, PE, LEED AP Date 07/19/2021

Signature _____ Title Vice President

PRINT FORM

EAF Mapper Summary Report


Thursday, September 17, 2020 2:28 PM



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	Yes
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	Yes
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.
C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]	Remediation Sites:C356037, NYS Heritage Areas:Kingston
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Yes - Digital mapping data for Spills Incidents are not available for this location. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Yes
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Yes
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - DEC ID Number]	C356037
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	Yes
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site - DEC ID]	C356037, 356052, C356036, 546031
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	Yes
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	Yes
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Name]	855.4-1
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Classification]	C
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Name]	Federal Waters

E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	Yes
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	Yes
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.l. [Aquifers]	Yes
E.2.l. [Aquifer Names]	Principal Aquifer
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	Yes
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Name]	Tidal River, Freshwater Intertidal Shore, Freshwater Tidal Marsh
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Acres]	74248.64, 6.0, 30.0
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	Yes
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species - Name]	Atlantic Sturgeon, Shortnose Sturgeon, Indiana Bat, Least Bittern, Northern Long-eared Bat
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not available. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.e.ii [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites - Name]	Eligible property: CORNELL SHOPS BUILDING, Eligible property: CORNELL STEAMBOAT CO BOILER SHOP, CATAWISSA (Coastal Tugboat), Cornell Steamboat Company Machine Shop Building, Brooklyn & Queens Transit Trolley No. 1000
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

Designated Brownfield Opportunity Areas



Click the links below for the complete BOA plans

Region 1 Long Island

Region 2 New York City

Region 3 Mid - Hudson

► Rondout BOA, in the City of Kingston, Ulster County

find & add DATA

- ▶ Biological
- ▶ Boundaries
- ▶ Citizen Science
- ▶ Commercial Fishing
- ▶ Cultural & Demographic
- ▶ Energy, Utilities & Disposal
- ▶ Physical Environment
- ▶ Recreation

Legend

☒ all on/off remove all download checked

  **Artificial Canals DOS Identified**

Transparency:

Legend:

 Significant Coastal Fish & Wildlife Habitats - NY

Transparency:


Legend:

 **Scenic Areas of Statewide Significance**

Transparency:

Legend:

☒ **Local Waterfront
Revitalization Program (LWRP)**




Environmental Resource Mapper - Kingston WWTP



January 4, 2021

1:36,112




Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Author: AGM
Not a legal document

State Wetlands, 72/75 Inches Sea-level Rise - Kingston WWTP



January 4, 2021




Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community, Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS user community

Author: AGM
Not a legal document

MS4, EJA, Remediation Parcels/Site - Kingston WWTP



January 4, 2021




Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community, Esri, HERE,

Author AGM
Not a legal document



A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for **Ulster County, New York**



September 22, 2020

DECinfo Locator Legend (Active Layers)

Permits and Registrations

- ☒ Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4)
- ☐ MS4 Extended

Environmental Cleanup

- ☐ Remediation Parcels
-  Remediation Sites

Public Involvement

- ☒ Potential Environmental Justice Areas

Reference Layers

-  DEC Regional Offices
- ☐ County Boundary

Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (<http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/>) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (<https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs>) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map (Kingston WWTP Outfall).....	9
Legend.....	10
Map Unit Legend (Kingston WWTP Outfall).....	11
Map Unit Descriptions (Kingston WWTP Outfall).....	11
Ulster County, New York.....	13
CF—Cut and fill land.....	13
References	15

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

Custom Soil Resource Report

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and


Custom Soil Resource Report

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map (Kingston WWTP Outfall)



Custom Soil Resource Report

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)			Spoil Area
Area of Interest (AOI)			Stony Spot
Soils			Very Stony Spot
	Soil Map Unit Polygons		Wet Spot
	Soil Map Unit Lines		Other
	Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features
Special Point Features		Water Features	
	Blowout		Streams and Canals
	Borrow Pit	Transportation	
	Clay Spot		Rails
	Closed Depression		Interstate Highways
	Gravel Pit		US Routes
	Gravelly Spot		Major Roads
	Landfill		Local Roads
	Lava Flow	Background	
	Marsh or swamp		Aerial Photography
	Mine or Quarry		
	Miscellaneous Water		
	Perennial Water		
	Rock Outcrop		
	Saline Spot		
	Sandy Spot		
	Severely Eroded Spot		
	Sinkhole		
	Slide or Slip		
	Sodic Spot		

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:15,800.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Ulster County, New York
Survey Area Data: Version 19, Jun 11, 2020

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Oct 7, 2013—Sep 3, 2017

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend (Kingston WWTP Outfall)

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres In AOI	Percent of AOI
CF	Cut and fill land	0.1	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		0.1	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions (Kingston WWTP Outfall)

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

Custom Soil Resource Report

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Ulster County, New York

CF—Cut and fill land

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9xg2
Elevation: 160 to 1,970 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 41 to 62 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 50 degrees F
Frost-free period: 110 to 200 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Udorthents and similar soils: 80 percent
Minor components: 20 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Udorthents

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 4 inches: gravelly sandy loam
H2 - 4 to 70 inches: very gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high
(0.06 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 36 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Available water capacity: Low (about 5.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6s
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Bath

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Tunkhannock

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Lyons

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Depressions
Hydric soil rating: Yes

Custom Soil Resource Report

Cayuga

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

References

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.
- Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.
- Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.
- Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.
- National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262
- Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577
- Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580
- Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelpdb1043084>

Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf



Legend

Stratified-drift aquifers mapped at 1:24,000

Stratified-drift aquifer boundary lines

— Closed Aquifer Boundary

— New Aquifer Boundary

— New Inferred Aquifer Boundary

Stratified-drift aquifer



Stratified-drift aquifer report boundary



Stratified-drift aquifers mapped at 1:250,000



Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS user community



**Parks, Recreation,
and Historic Preservation**

ANDREW M. CUOMO
Governor

ERIK KULLESEID
Commissioner

October 09, 2020

Arica McCarthy
Planner
Tighe & Bond
47 West Market St., Suite 2
Rhinebeck, NY 12572

Re: DEC
Kingston WWTP Outfall
134 E Strand St, Kingston, NY 12401
20PR05831

Dear Arica McCarthy:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP). We have reviewed the project in accordance with the New York State Historic Preservation Act of 1980 (Section 14.09 of the New York Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law). These comments are those of the OPRHP and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources. They do not include potential environmental impacts to New York State Parkland that may be involved in or near your project. Such impacts must be considered as part of the environmental review of the project pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (New York Environmental Conservation Law Article 8) and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617).

Based upon this review, it is the opinion of OPRHP that no properties, including archaeological and/or historic resources, listed in or eligible for the New York State and National Registers of Historic Places will be impacted by this project.

If further correspondence is required regarding this project, please be sure to refer to the OPRHP Project Review (PR) number noted above.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Daniel Mackay".

R. Daniel Mackay

Deputy Commissioner for Historic Preservation
Division for Historic Preservation

Division for Historic Preservation

P.O. Box 189, Waterford, New York 12188-0189 • (518) 237-8643 • parks.ny.gov

Impact on Land

The project will result in a minor impact to land.

Site development activities involve 1296 cubic feet (48 cubic yards) granular fill material on the landward side of the PZ13 sheet cofferdam to grade over the proposed concrete encasement to accommodate future use for the site.

Ground disturbance will occur at the Wastewater Treatment Plant as well to facilitate the improvements to the outfall pipe and generator. The project areas disturbed by ground trenching will be seeded, mulched and stabilized.

No site grading or tree clearing is proposed as part of the project. No change in hydrology or water runoff patterns is occurring. The potential for erosion from the removal of grasses and other vegetation in the areas of disturbance will be mitigated by seeding and stabilization.

Impacts on Surface Water

The Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) identifies the Rondout Creek as a listed NRI segment. The project will not result in any significant adverse impacts to surface water of the Rondout Creek, and the outfall has been designed to create the least amount of streambed and streambank impacts as feasible.

Proposed work on the waterward side of the PZ13 sheet cofferdam involves 72 cubic feet of streambed disturbance. On the landward side of the PZ13 sheet cofferdam, 108 cubic feet of streambed disturbance is proposed. Overall, streambed material will be side casted at a height of no more than 1 foot. Waterward side material will be side casted within an eight-foot by nine-foot area, while landward side material will be side casted within a twelve-foot by nine-foot area. An existing car rests on the base of the streambed and will be removed from the waterway as part of the site construction resulting in 34 SF of streambed disturbance. A weighted chain turbidity curtain will be placed in the water around the proposed work during construction to reduce impact of turbidity. After the outfall is installed and the disturbed streambed material has settled, the turbidity curtain will be removed.

Work will occur near NYS Freshwater Tidal Wetland KE-11, which is 61.8-acres; however, the proposed work does not encroach into the wetland or regulated adjacent area.

The project's purpose is to improve water quality of the Rondout Creek by reducing the ammonia levels in the City of Kingston Wastewater Treatment Plant effluent as required by the New York State Department of Conservation (NYSDEC).

Impacts on Flooding

The project will not result in any significant adverse impacts to flooding. The Project is within the 100- or 500-year floodplain. FEMA depicts the site as being in regulatory floodway. The proposed work involves upgrades to existing facilities; therefore, current flooding conditions are not expected to increase due to the improvements. See the FEMA Flood Map provided with SEQRA Part 1 form.

Impacts on Plants and Animals

The project will not result in any significant adverse impacts to plants and animals.

Based on a review of the New York State Environmental Resource Mapper, potential habitats of five endangered or threatened species are within the area of the proposed work. The listed species involve both terrestrial and aquatic species. There is no tree clearing proposed as the work is within the Creek. Therefore, the Indiana Bat and Northern Long-eared Bat will not be affected by the work. The U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) Review also identified the two bats; again, the species are not expected to be impacted. No submerged aquatic vegetation is located near the work area; therefore, the Least Bittern is not expected to be affected by the work. No NYS rare or special concern species are within the project area.

While the project will require in-water work, an Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) report was conducted and concluded that the project site does not represent preferred habitat for marine EFH-designated species, and project-related impacts upon these life stages are considered unlikely.

Impact on Historical and Archeological Resources

The project will result not have an adverse impact on historical and archeological resources. The City has reviewed a letter from the NYS OPRHP dated October 9, 2020, which indicated that it will have no impact on archeological and/or historic resources listed in or eligible for the NYS and National Registers of Historic Places.

Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light

The project will not result in any significant adverse impacts on noise, odor, or light.

The proposed work has no external lights proposed.

The project will have no effect on odor and will improve existing noise conditions at the treatment plant. For noise, there will be new equipment installed with lower decibel sound generation.

Project : Date :

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts
and
Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

Please refer to attached narrative sheet.

Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions

SEQR Status: ☐ Type 1 ☒ Unlisted

Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project: ☒ Part 1 ☒ Part 2 ☒ Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information
Kingston WWTP Outfall Modification Plan Set prepared by Tighe & Bond dated March 16, 2021.

and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the
City of Kingston Common Council as lead agency that:

☒ A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.

☐ B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:

There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).

☐ C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.

Name of Action: City of Kingston Wastewater Treatment Plant Outfall Modifications

Name of Lead Agency: City of Kingston Common Council

Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Andrea Shaut

Title of Responsible Officer: Alderman-At Large

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Date:

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer) Date:

For Further Information:

Contact Person: John M Schultheis, PE

Address: 420 Broadway, Kingston, NY 12401

Telephone Number: 845-334-3967

E-mail: jschultheis@kingston-ny.gov

For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:

Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of)

Other involved agencies (if any)

Applicant (if any)

Environmental Notice Bulletin: <http://www.dec.ny.gov/cnb/cnb.html>

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Project :	Agency Use Only [If applicable]
Date :	

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.</i>			
		☐ NO	☒ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	☒	☐
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	☒	☐
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	☒	☐
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a	☒	☐
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e	☒	☐
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q	☒	☐
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i	☒	☐
h. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

2. Impact on Geological Features The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) ☒ NO ☐ YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: _____	E2g	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature: _____	E3c	☐	☐
c. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) ☐ NO ☒ YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h	☒	☐
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	☒	☐
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	☒	☐
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h	☒	☐
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	☒	☐
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	☒	☐
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	☒	☐
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	☒	☐
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	☒	☐
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	☒	☐
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d	☒	☐

I. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐
----------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer. (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.</i>			
		☒ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	☐	☐
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source: _____	D2c	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	☐	☐
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l	☐	☐
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c	☐	☐
h. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.</i>			
		☐ NO	☒ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	☒	☐
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j	☒	☐
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k	☒	☐
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e	☒	☐
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	☒	☐
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	E1e	☒	☐

g. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐
-------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.</i>			
	☒ NO	☐ YES	
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO ₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF ₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2h	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s	☐	☐
f. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. m.-q.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.</i>			
	☐ NO	☒ YES	
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o	☒	☐
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	☒	☐
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	☒	☐
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p	☒	☐

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c	☒	☐
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source: _____	E2n	☒	☐
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	☒	☐
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source: _____	E1b	☒	☐
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	☒	☐
j. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) ☒ NO ☐ YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	E2c, E3b	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).	E1a, E1b	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	E3b	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.	E1b, E3a	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	E1 a, E1b	☐	☐
f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	C2c, C3, D2c, D2d	☐	☐
g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	C2c	☐	☐
h. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.</i>			
		☒ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	☐ ☐	☐ ☐
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c	☐ ☐	☐ ☐
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	☐	☐
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile 1/2 -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g	☐	☐
g. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.</i>			
		☐ NO	☒ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e	☒	☐
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	☒	☐
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source: _____	E3g	☒	☐

d. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.	E3e, E3g, E3f	☐	☐
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	☐	☐
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	☐	☐

11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.			
		☒ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b, E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c, E1c, E2q	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c	☐	☐
e. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.			
		☒ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	☐	☐
c. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

13. Impact on Transportation

The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems.
(See Part 1. D.2.j)

☒ NO☐ YES

If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	☐	☐
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	☐	☐
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	☐	☐
f. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

14. Impact on Energy

The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy.
(See Part 1. D.2.k)

☒ NO☐ YES

If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	☐	☐
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	☐	☐
e. Other Impacts: _____			

15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light

The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting.
(See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)

☐ NO☒ YES

If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m	☒	☐
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	☒	☐
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o	☒	☐

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	☒	☐
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	☒	☐
f. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

16. Impact on Human Health

The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.)

☒ NO

☐ YES

If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	☐	☐
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	☐	☐
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	☐	☐
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	☐	☐
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	☐	☐
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h	☐	☐
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g	☐	☐
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	☐	☐
m. Other impacts: _____			

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.</i>		☒ NO ☐ YES	
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	☐	☐
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	☐	☐
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3	☐	☐
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, E1b	☐	☐
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j	☐	☐
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	☐	☐
h. Other: _____		☐	☐

18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.</i>		☒ NO ☐ YES	
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	☐	☐
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	☐	☐
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	☐	☐
g. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project: Kingston Wastewater Treatment Plant Outfall Modification		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map): 124-134 East Strand Street, Kingston, NY 12401		
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need): The City of Kingston's Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) will be finance, have designed and construct plant upgrades including process equipment improvements and a new outfall structure, to comply with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) updated individual SPDES permit for ammonia nitrogen limits and to make other safety and operational upgrades to the plant. The improvements will require a modification of SPDES Permit #NY0029351. The City is seeking financing for the improvements through Environmental Facilities Corporation State Revolving Fund (Application #C3-5374-08-00). Plant improvements consist of process aeration upgrades to blowers, tanks, diffusers, controls and electric service. The outfall improvements consist of installing the water-side portion of the outfall extension and improving the existing headwall structure. This involves the installation of 24 HP12 piles to support 2 twin 28" diameter sewer pipes along the Rondout Creek Bed, a marine mattress, a stormwater pipe, and a PZ13 sheet pile to act as a cofferdam during construction and a permanent bulkhead post-construction. The discharge points of the outfall pipes will rest at an elevation of about 23'6" below the mean high water mark.		
Name of Applicant/Sponsor: Steve Noble, City of Kingston		Telephone: 845-334-3967
		E-Mail: jschultheis@kingston-ny.gov
Address: 420 Broadway		
City/PO: Kingston	State: NY	Zip Code: 12401
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role): Brandee Nelson, PE, LEED AP		Telephone: 518-965-5786
		E-Mail: BNelson@tighebond.com
Address: 47 West Market Street, Suite 2		
City/PO: Rhinebeck	State: NY	Zip Code: 12572
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):		Telephone:
		E-Mail:
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)		
Government Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application Date (Actual or projected)
a. City Counsel, Town Board, ☒ Yes ☐ No or Village Board of Trustees	Law & Rule Committee Review, Common Council Resolution - SEQR Determination	August 2021
b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commission ☐ Yes ☒ No		
c. City, Town or Village Zoning Board of Appeals ☐ Yes ☒ No		
d. Other local agencies ☐ Yes ☒ No	Kingston Heritage Area Commission -LWRP Comments to DOS; Town of Esopus - Referral	August 2021
e. County agencies ☐ Yes ☒ No	Ulster Co Planning Board - 239L Referral	August 2021
f. Regional agencies ☐ Yes ☒ No		
g. State agencies ☒ Yes ☐ No	DEC Article 15, 401 Water Qual Cert, DOS FCAF, OGS Lands Under Water, EFC Finance	April 2021
h. Federal agencies ☒ Yes ☐ No	ACOE Section 404 Clean Water Act Nationwide Permit	April 2021
i. Coastal Resources.		
i. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland Waterway?		☒ Yes ☐ No
ii. Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program?		☒ Yes ☐ No
iii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area?		☐ Yes ☒ No

C. Planning and Zoning

C.1. Planning and zoning actions.	
Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
- If Yes, complete sections C, F and G. - If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1	
C.2. Adopted land use plans.	
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway; Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?) ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If Yes, identify the plan(s): Remediation Sites: C356037, NYS Heritage Areas: Kingston	
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes, identify the plan(s):	

C.3. Zoning

a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. ☒ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district?
RF-R Rondout Creek District

b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit? ☒ Yes ☐ No

c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes,
i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site? _____

C.4. Existing community services.

a. In what school district is the project site located? Kingston School District

b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site?
City of Kingston Police Department

c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site?
City of Kingston Fire Department

d. What parks serve the project site?
Kingston Point Rotary Park, Hasbrouck Park, Loughran Park

D. Project Details**D.1. Proposed and Potential Development**

a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, include all components)? Public wastewater treatment plant upgrades outfall repair and improvement

b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? 1.58 acres
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? .01 acres
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 1.58 acres

c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? ☐ Yes ☒ No
i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, housing units, square feet)? % _____ Units: _____

d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes,
i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types) _____

ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? ☐ Yes ☐ No

iii. Number of lots proposed? _____

iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum _____ Maximum _____

e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? ☐ Yes ☒ No

i. If No, anticipated period of construction: _____ months

ii. If Yes:

- Total number of phases anticipated _____
- Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) _____ month _____ year
- Anticipated completion date of final phase _____ month _____ year
- Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progress of one phase may determine timing or duration of future phases: _____

f. Does the project include new residential uses? ☐ Yes ☒ No				
If Yes, show numbers of units proposed.				
	<u>One Family</u>	<u>Two Family</u>	<u>Three Family</u>	<u>Multiple Family (four or more)</u>
Initial Phase	_____	_____	_____	_____
At completion	_____	_____	_____	_____
of all phases	_____	_____	_____	_____

g. Does the proposed action include new non-residential construction (including expansions)? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes,	
i. Total number of structures _____	
ii. Dimensions (in feet) of largest proposed structure: _____ height; _____ width; and _____ length	
iii. Approximate extent of building space to be heated or cooled: _____ square feet	

h. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that will result in the impoundment of any liquids, such as creation of a water supply, reservoir, pond, lake, waste lagoon or other storage? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes,	
i. Purpose of the impoundment: _____	
ii. If a water impoundment, the principal source of the water: ☐ Ground water ☐ Surface water streams ☐ Other specify: _____	
iii. If other than water, identify the type of impounded/contained liquids and their source. _____	
iv. Approximate size of the proposed impoundment. Volume: _____ million gallons; surface area: _____ acres	
v. Dimensions of the proposed dam or impounding structure: _____ height; _____ length	
vi. Construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, concrete): _____	

D.2. Project Operations

a. Does the proposed action include any excavation, mining, or dredging, during construction, operations, or both? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
(Not including general site preparation, grading or installation of utilities or foundations where all excavated materials will remain onsite)	
If Yes:	
i. What is the purpose of the excavation or dredging? _____	
ii. How much material (including rock, earth, sediments, etc.) is proposed to be removed from the site?	
• Volume (specify tons or cubic yards): _____	
• Over what duration of time? _____	
iii. Describe nature and characteristics of materials to be excavated or dredged, and plans to use, manage or dispose of them. _____	
iv. Will there be onsite dewatering or processing of excavated materials? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
If yes, describe. _____	
v. What is the total area to be dredged or excavated? _____ acres	
vi. What is the maximum area to be worked at any one time? _____ acres	
vii. What would be the maximum depth of excavation or dredging? _____ feet	
viii. Will the excavation require blasting? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
ix. Summarize site reclamation goals and plan: _____	

b. Would the proposed action cause or result in alteration of, increase or decrease in size of, or encroachment into any existing wetland, waterbody, shoreline, beach or adjacent area? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If Yes:	
i. Identify the wetland or waterbody which would be affected (by name, water index number, wetland map number or geographic description): <u>Rondout Creek, R1UBV, Riverine</u>	

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:
The streambed permanent disturbance proposed is 691 SF from HP12 piles, pipelines, a stormwater pipe, and marine mattress (this includes the disturbance removal of a car that currently rests on the streambed). The streambank permanent disturbance is 51 LF (1.45 SF) from a PZ13 sheet pile bulkhead installation.

iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, describe: Pile installation, marine mattress, earth fill

iv. Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

- acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed: _____
- expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion: _____
- purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access): _____
- proposed method of plant removal: _____
- if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s): _____

v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance: _____

c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: _____ gallons/day

ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes:

- Name of district or service area: _____
- Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Is the project site in the existing district? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Is expansion of the district needed? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Do existing lines serve the project site? ☐ Yes ☐ No

iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes:

- Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: _____
- Source(s) of supply for the district: _____

iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes:

- Applicant/sponsor for new district: _____
- Date application submitted or anticipated: _____
- Proposed source(s) of supply for new district: _____

v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project: _____

vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity: _____ gallons/minute.

d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: _____ gallons/day

ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all components and approximate volumes or proportions of each): _____

iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes:

- Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: _____
- Name of district: _____
- Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Is the project site in the existing district? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Is expansion of the district needed? ☐ Yes ☐ No

• Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? _____ • Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? _____ If Yes: • Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: _____	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site? _____ If Yes: • Applicant/sponsor for new district: _____ • Date application submitted or anticipated: _____ • What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge? _____	☐ Yes ☐ No	
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including specifying proposed receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans): _____ _____		
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste: _____ _____		
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction? If Yes: i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel? _____ Square feet or _____ acres (impervious surface) _____ Square feet or _____ acres (parcel size) ii. Describe types of new point sources. _____ iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent properties, groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)? _____ _____ • If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands: _____ _____ • Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties? _____	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	
iv. Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater? _____		
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations? If Yes, identify: i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles) _____ ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers) _____ iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation) _____	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit? If Yes: i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year) _____ ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate: • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF₆) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)		☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☐ No

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric): _____ ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring): _____			
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry or landfill operations? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust): _____			
j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): ☐ Morning ☐ Evening ☐ Weekend ☐ Randomly between hours of _____ to _____. ii. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks): _____ iii. Parking spaces: Existing _____ Proposed _____ Net increase/decrease _____ iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking? ☐ Yes ☐ No v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe: _____ vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? ☐ Yes ☐ No vii. Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? ☐ Yes ☐ No viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: _____ ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other): _____ iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
l. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> i. During Construction: • Monday - Friday: _____ 7am - 6pm • Saturday: _____ N/A • Sunday: _____ N/A • Holidays: _____ N/A </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> ii. During Operations: • Monday - Friday: _____ 24/7 • Saturday: _____ 24/7 • Sunday: _____ 24/7 • Holidays: _____ 24/7 </td> </tr> </table>		i. During Construction: • Monday - Friday: _____ 7am - 6pm • Saturday: _____ N/A • Sunday: _____ N/A • Holidays: _____ N/A	ii. During Operations: • Monday - Friday: _____ 24/7 • Saturday: _____ 24/7 • Sunday: _____ 24/7 • Holidays: _____ 24/7
i. During Construction: • Monday - Friday: _____ 7am - 6pm • Saturday: _____ N/A • Sunday: _____ N/A • Holidays: _____ N/A	ii. During Operations: • Monday - Friday: _____ 24/7 • Saturday: _____ 24/7 • Sunday: _____ 24/7 • Holidays: _____ 24/7		

m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration: There is expected to be noise exceeding ambient levels when piles are being driven and general construction noise during the week (Monday-Friday) between 7am and 6pm during the construction period.	
ii. Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? ☐ Yes ☒ No Describe: No tree clearing is proposed, all work is in-water or at the existing plant.	
n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes: i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? ☐ Yes ☐ No Describe:	
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Product(s) to be stored _____ ii. Volume(s) _____ per unit time _____ (e.g., month, year) iii. Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities: _____	
q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Describe proposed treatment(s): _____	
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? ☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes: i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility: • Construction: _____ tons per _____ (unit of time) • Operation : _____ tons per _____ (unit of time) ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste: • Construction: _____ • Operation: _____ iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: • Construction: _____ • Operation: _____	

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities): _____

ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:

- _____ Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or
- _____ Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment

iii. If landfill, anticipated site life: _____ years

t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes:

i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility: _____

ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents: _____

iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated _____ tons/month

iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents: _____

v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes: provide name and location of facility: _____

If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility: _____

E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action

E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site

a. Existing land uses.

i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the project site.

☐ Urban ☐ Industrial ☒ Commercial ☐ Residential (suburban) ☐ Rural (non-farm)

☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☒ Aquatic ☒ Other (specify): Municipal

ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:

Site is the City's sewer treatment plant, east of the Rondout Riverport and Ole Savannah restaurant, and abuts the Rondout Creek.

b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.

Land use or Covertypes	Current Acreage	Acreage After Project Completion	Change (Acres +/-)
• Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces	0		
• Forested	0	0	0
• Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)	0	0	0
• Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)	0	0	0
• Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	0	0	0
• Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)	0	0	0
• Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)	0	0	0
• Other Describe: <u>Permanent Streambed and Streambank</u>	0	0.00973	+0.00973

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? ☐ Yes ☒ No
i. If Yes: explain: _____

d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes,
i. Identify Facilities: _____

e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes:
i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:
• Dam height: _____ feet
• Dam length: _____ feet
• Surface area: _____ acres
• Volume impounded: _____ gallons OR acre-feet
ii. Dam's existing hazard classification: _____
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: _____

f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes:
i. Has the facility been formally closed? ☐ Yes ☐ No
• If yes, cite sources/documentation: _____
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: _____

iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: _____

g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes:
i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: _____

h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? ☒ Yes ☐ No
If Yes:
i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: ☒ Yes ☐ No
☐ Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): _____
☒ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s): C356037
☐ Neither database
ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: _____

iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? ☒ Yes ☐ No
If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): C356037, 356052, C356036, 546031
iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):
Site C356037 includes lands owned by City of Kingston Waste Water Treatment Facility and B. Millens Scrap Yard for storage, which the outfall headwall falls within. Contaminates found during the remedial search are: benzene, benzo(a)anthracene, chrysene, petroleum products, barium, benzo(b)fluoranthene, arsenic, benzo(k)fluoranthene, indeno(1,2,3-CD)pyrene, dibenz(a,h)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene and lead.

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
• If yes, DEC site ID number: _____ • Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): _____ • Describe any use limitations: _____ • Describe any engineering controls: _____ • Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? ☐ Yes ☐ No • Explain: _____	
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site	
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site?	7+ feet
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings? _____ %	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site:	100 %
Cut and Fill Land (CF)	%
_____	%
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average:	3 feet
e. Drainage status of project site soils: ☒ Well Drained: _____ 100 % of site	
☐ Moderately Well Drained: _____ % of site	
☐ Poorly Drained _____ % of site	
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: ☒ 0-10%: _____ 100 % of site	
☐ 10-15%: _____ % of site	
☐ 15% or greater: _____ % of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes, describe: _____	
h. Surface water features.	
i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?	☒ Yes ☐ No
ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?	☒ Yes ☐ No
If Yes to either i or ii, continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.	
iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, state or local agency?	☒ Yes ☐ No
iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information:	
• Streams: Name 855.4-1	Classification C
• Lakes or Ponds: Name _____	Classification _____
• Wetlands: Name Federal Waters, Federal Waters, Federal Waters,...	Approximate Size _____
• Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) _____	
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired waterbodies? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired: _____	
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?	☒ Yes ☐ No
j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain?	☒ Yes ☐ No
k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain?	☐ Yes ☒ No
l. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If Yes:	
i. Name of aquifer: Principal Aquifer	

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site:	
Ducks	
Fish Species	
Aquatic Water Fowl	
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If Yes:	
<i>i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designation):</i> _____ Tidal River, Freshwater Intertidal Shore, Freshwater Tidal Marsh	
<i>ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:</i> DEC Environmental Resource Mapper	
<i>iii. Extent of community/habitat:</i>	
• Currently: _____ 74248.64, 6.0, 30.0 acres • Following completion of project as proposed: _____ 74,248.64, 6.0, 30.0 acres • Gain or loss (indicate + or -): _____ 0 acres	
o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened species? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If Yes:	
<i>i. Species and listing (endangered or threatened):</i> _____ Atlantic Sturgeon, Shortnose Sturgeon, Indiana Bat, Least Bittern, Northern Long-eared Bat	
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes:	
<i>i. Species and listing:</i> _____	
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shell fishing? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use: _____	
Not expected to hinder these activities.	
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site	
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes, provide county plus district name/number: _____	
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
<i>i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?</i> _____	
<i>ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):</i> _____	
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a registered National Natural Landmark? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes:	
<i>i. Nature of the natural landmark:</i> ☐ Biological Community ☐ Geological Feature	
<i>ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent:</i> _____	
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes:	
<i>i. CEA name:</i> _____	
<i>ii. Basis for designation:</i> _____	
<i>iii. Designating agency and date:</i> _____	

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If Yes: i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: ☐ Archaeological Site ☒ Historic Building or District ii. Name: <u>Eligible property: CORNELL SHOPS BUILDING, Eligible property: CORNELL STEAMBOAT CO BOILER SHOP, CATAWISSA (Coastal</u> iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based: _____	
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): _____ ii. Basis for identification: _____	
h. Is the project site within five miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? ☒ Yes ☐ No	
If Yes: i. Identify resource: <u>Estates District (ED)-15</u> ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or scenic byway, etc.): <u>Hudson River</u> iii. Distance between project and resource: <u>0.21 miles.</u>	
i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? ☐ Yes ☒ No	
If Yes: i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: _____ ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666? ☐ Yes ☐ No	

F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.

If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.


G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name Brandee Nelson, PE, LEED AP Date 07/19/2021

Signature _____ Title Vice President


PRINT FORM



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	Yes
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	Yes
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.
C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]	Remediation Sites:C356037, NYS Heritage Areas:Kingston
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Yes - Digital mapping data for Spills Incidents are not available for this location. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Yes
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Yes
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - DEC ID Number]	C356037
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	Yes
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site - DEC ID]	C356037, 356052, C356036, 546031
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	Yes
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	Yes
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Name]	855.4-1
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Classification]	C
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands Name]	Federal Waters

E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	Yes
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	Yes
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.l. [Aquifers]	Yes
E.2.l. [Aquifer Names]	Principal Aquifer
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	Yes
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Name]	Tidal River, Freshwater Intertidal Shore, Freshwater Tidal Marsh
E.2.n.i [Natural Communities - Acres]	74248.64, 6.0, 30.0
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	Yes
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species - Name]	Atlantic Sturgeon, Shortnose Sturgeon, Indiana Bat, Least Bittern, Northern Long-eared Bat
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not available. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.e.ii [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites - Name]	Eligible property: CORNELL SHOPS BUILDING, Eligible property: CORNELL STEAMBOAT CO BOILER SHOP, CATAWISSA (Coastal Tugboat), Cornell Steamboat Company Machine Shop Building, Brooklyn & Queens Transit Trolley No. 1000
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

Designated Brownfield Opportunity Areas



Click the links below for the complete BOA plans

Region 1 Long Island

Region 2 New York City

Region 3 Mid - Hudson

► Rondout BOA, in the City of Kingston, Ulster County

find & add
DATA

search

browse

- ▶ Biological
- ▶ Boundaries
- ▶ Citizen Science
- ▶ Commercial Fishing
- ▶ Cultural & Demographic
- ▶ Energy, Utilities & Disposal
- ▶ Physical Environment
- ▶ Recreation

Legend

☒ all on/off ☒ remove all ☒ download checked

☒ ▼ Artificial Canals DOS Identified ☒ Transparency: ☐

Legend:


☒ ▼ Significant Coastal Fish & Wildlife Habitats - NY ☒ Transparency: ☐

Legend:

☒ ▼ Scenic Areas of Statewide Significance ☒ Transparency: ☐

Legend:


☒ ▶ Local Waterfront Revitalization Program [LWRP] ☒



Environmental Resource Mapper - Kingston WWTP



January 4, 2021




Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

Author: AGM
Not a legal document

State Wetlands, 72/75 Inches Sea-level Rise - Kingston WWTP




January 4, 2021



Sources: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community, Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS user community

Author: AGM
Not a legal document

MS4, EJA, Remediation Parcels/Site - Kingston WWTP



January 4, 2021

1:9,028
0 0.05 0.1 0.2 mi
0 0.07 0.15 0.3 km
Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar, Geographica, CNES/Airbus, DS,
USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community, Esri, HERE,
Author: AGM
Not a legal document

DECinfo Locator Legend (Active Layers)

Permits and Registrations

-  Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4)
-  MS4 Extended

Environmental Cleanup

-  Remediation Parcels
-  Remediation Sites

Public Involvement

-  Potential Environmental Justice Areas

Reference Layers

-  DEC Regional Offices
-  County Boundary




United States
Department of
Agriculture

NRCS

Natural
Resources
Conservation
Service

A product of the National
Cooperative Soil Survey,
a joint effort of the United
States Department of
Agriculture and other
Federal agencies, State
agencies including the
Agricultural Experiment
Stations, and local
participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for **Ulster County, New York**



September 22, 2020

Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (<http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/>) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (<https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs>) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface.....	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made.....	5
Soil Map.....	8
Soil Map (Kingston WWTP Outfall).....	9
Legend.....	10
Map Unit Legend (Kingston WWTP Outfall).....	11
Map Unit Descriptions (Kingston WWTP Outfall).....	11
Ulster County, New York.....	13
CF—Cut and fill land.....	13
References.....	15

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units).

Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

Custom Soil Resource Report

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and


Custom Soil Resource Report

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map (Kingston WWTP Outfall)



Custom Soil Resource Report

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils



Soil Map Unit Polygons



Soil Map Unit Lines



Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features



Blowout



Borrow Pit



Clay Spot



Closed Depression



Gravel Pit



Gravelly Spot



Landfill



Lava Flow



Marsh or swamp



Mine or Quarry



Miscellaneous Water



Perennial Water



Rock Outcrop



Saline Spot



Sandy Spot



Severely Eroded Spot



Sinkhole



Slide or Slip



Sodic Spot



Spoil Area



Stony Spot



Very Stony Spot



Wet Spot



Other



Special Line Features

Water Features



Streams and Canals

Transportation



Rails



Interstate Highways



US Routes



Major Roads



Local Roads

Background



Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:15,800.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Ulster County, New York

Survey Area Data: Version 19, Jun 11, 2020

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Oct 7, 2013—Sep 3, 2017

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend (Kingston WWTP Outfall)

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres In AOI	Percent of AOI
CF	Cut and fill land	0.1	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		0.1	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions (Kingston WWTP Outfall)

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

Custom Soil Resource Report

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Ulster County, New York

CF—Cut and fill land

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9xg2
Elevation: 160 to 1,970 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 41 to 62 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 41 to 50 degrees F
Frost-free period: 110 to 200 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Udorthents and similar soils: 80 percent
Minor components: 20 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Udorthents

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 4 inches: gravelly sandy loam
H2 - 4 to 70 inches: very gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high
(0.06 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 36 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Available water capacity: Low (about 5.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6s
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Bath

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Tunkhannock

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Lyons

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Depressions
Hydric soil rating: Yes

Custom Soil Resource Report

Cayuga

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Hydric soil rating: No

References

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.
- Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.
- Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.
- Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.
- National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262
- Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577
- Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580
- Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelpdb1043084>

Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf



Legend

Stratified-drift aquifers mapped at 1:24,000

Stratified-drift aquifer boundary lines

— Closed Aquifer Boundary

— New Aquifer Boundary

— New Inferred Aquifer Boundary

Stratified-drift aquifer



Stratified-drift aquifer report boundary



Stratified-drift aquifers mapped at 1:250,000



Esri, HERE, Garmin, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS user community



**Parks, Recreation,
and Historic Preservation**

ANDREW M. CUOMO
Governor

ERIK KULLESEID
Commissioner

October 09, 2020

Arica McCarthy
Planner
Tighe & Bond
47 West Market St., Suite 2
Rhinebeck, NY 12572

Re: DEC
Kingston WWTP Outfall
134 E Strand St, Kingston, NY 12401
20PR05831

Dear Arica McCarthy:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP). We have reviewed the project in accordance with the New York State Historic Preservation Act of 1980 (Section 14.09 of the New York Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law). These comments are those of the OPRHP and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources. They do not include potential environmental impacts to New York State Parkland that may be involved in or near your project. Such impacts must be considered as part of the environmental review of the project pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (New York Environmental Conservation Law Article 8) and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617).

Based upon this review, it is the opinion of OPRHP that no properties, including archaeological and/or historic resources, listed in or eligible for the New York State and National Registers of Historic Places will be impacted by this project.

If further correspondence is required regarding this project, please be sure to refer to the OPRHP Project Review (PR) number noted above.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Daniel Mackay".

R. Daniel Mackay
Deputy Commissioner for Historic Preservation
Division for Historic Preservation

Division for Historic Preservation

P.O. Box 189, Waterford, New York 12188-0189 • (518) 237-8643 • parks.ny.gov

RESOLUTION 204 of 2021

**RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON,
NEW YORK, REQUESTING AUTHORIZATION TO UTILIZE THE FULL
DIETZ STADIUM CAPITAL RESERVE**

Sponsored By: Finance/Audit Committee: Alderman: Scott-
Childress, Davis, Hirsch, Schabot,

WHEREAS, request has been made for authorization to utilize the full Dietz Stadium Capital Reserve to offset costs for the Dietz Stadium Capital Improvement Project.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. That the Common Council of the City of Kingston, New York, authorizes the utilization of the full Dietz Stadium Capital Reserve to offset costs for the Dietz Stadium Capital Improvement Project.

SECTION 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

204
1THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT

REQUEST DESCRIPTION

INTERNAL TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION X _____
CLAIMS _____

CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____
BUDGET MODIFICATION _____
ZONING _____

TRANSFER _____
BONDING REQUEST _____
OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Mayor

DATE: 9/8/2021

Description:

Request authorization to utilize the full Dietz Stadium Capital Reserve to offset costs for the Dietz Stadium Capital Improvement Project.

Estimated Financial Impact: \$ 300,000

Signature _____

Motion by Davis

Seconded by Hirsch

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman	Xx	
Don Tallerman, Ward 5	X	
Anthony Davis, Ward 6	X	
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9	X	
Steven Schabot, Ward 8	x	

RESOLUTION 205 of 2021

**RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON,
NEW YORK, AUTHORIZING ADOPTING AN ADDITIONAL BOND
ORDINANCE IN THE SUM OF \$9,000,000 FOR WWTP UPGRADES CAPITAL
IMPROVEMENT PROJECT**

Sponsored By: Finance and Audit Committee: Alderman:
Scott-Childress, Davis, Hirsch, Schabot

WHEREAS, a request for an additional sum of \$9,000,000 in bonding is being made for the Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades Project; and

WHEREAS, the amount of \$9,000,000, with the amount to the long term bond, is to be reduced by available state grants estimated at \$2,250,000; and

WHEREAS, said \$9,000,000 is an additional bond in addition to the \$1,200,000 bond previously approved.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1. That the Common Council of the City of Kingston authorizes adopting a bond in an additional amount of \$9,000,000 for the Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades Project, for a total bonding amount of \$10,200,000.

SECTION 2. That the Common Council of the City of Kingston authorizes the Mayor to execute any and all contracts related to the Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades Project.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Submitted to the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Approved by the Mayor this ____ day of _____, 2021

Elisa Tinti, City Clerk

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Adopted by Council on _____, 2021

105

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

FINANCE AND AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

REQUEST DESCRIPTION

INTERNAL TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION _____
CLAIMS _____

CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____
BUDGET MODIFICATION _____
ZONING _____

TRANSFER _____
BONDING REQUEST X _____
OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Engineering

DATE: 9/8/2021

Description:

Request an bonding authorization for the \$10,200,000 WWTP Upgrades Capital Improvement Project in the amount of \$9,000,000, with the amount to long term bond to be reduced by available state grants estimated at \$2,250,000. The \$9,000,000 bond is an additional bond in addition to the \$1,200,000 bond previously approved. Authorize the Mayor to execute all and any contracts related to this project.

Estimated Financial Impact: \$ 7,950,000

Signature _____

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Reynolds Scott Childress, Ward 3, Chairman		
Don Tallerman, Ward 5		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Michele Hirsch, Ward 9		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of the City Engineer
jschultheis@kingston-ny.gov

John M. Schultheis, P.E., City Engineer



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

September 3, 2021

Andrea Shaut., Alderman-At-Large, President of the Common Council
Kingston City Hall
420 Broadway
Kingston, New York 12401

RE: Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) Upgrades - Finance

Dear President Shaut:

A design project has been underway since 2018 to design extensive improvements at the WWTP. The primary purpose of the project is to bring treated effluent into compliance with permit limits imposed by the NYSDEC in 2016. The permit imposed lowered ammonia concentration limits on the effluent in order to protect water quality in the Rondout Creek. The improvements are now designed and we expect to go forward with bidding the project in the coming months for construction in 2022.

At this time, I am requesting the Common Council authorize the following:

- Authorize borrowing for the project costs, which is estimated to be \$10,200,000, less the previously approved \$1,200,000 from an earlier bond ordinance. Thus the requested new authorized borrowing is \$9,000,000. A budget is attached for reference. Note that CWSRF no-interest loan funding is expected to be used for the City's share, however a bond authorization is still needed per the grant requirements. Grants already awarded to the City and a required contribution from the Town of Esopus are expected to reduce the net cost of the project to \$6,900,000. Additional grants have been applied for which would further reduce the net cost if received.
- Authorize the Mayor to request the required project financial contributions from the Town of Esopus under the terms of the existing inter-municipal agreement.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "JMS", is written over a faint, larger signature.

John M. Schultheis, P.E.
City Engineer

Cc: Steve Noble, Mayor
Ed Norman, Superintendent, Department of Public Works

206
Bond

