

APRIL 2023 LAWS & RULES COMMITTEE

Wednesday, April 19th at 6:30 PM.

- 1 - Watershed & Ecosystem Bill of Rights - J. Noble
- 2 - Sale of Street/Easement Request - J. Degasperis
- 3 - Kingston Forward - B. Starodaj
- 4 - Presentation for Transportation & Parking - Alderwoman Worthington
- 5 - Kingston Forward - Alderwoman Hirsch
- 6 - 2023 Standard Work Day Resolution - E. Tinti
- 7 - Outdoor Dining Policy check-in - Alderman Davis
- 8 - Amendment to the Rules re: committee preparation - Alderman Olivieri
- 9 - Good Cause Eviction - President Shaut

OLD BUSINESS

- 1- Operation of Shared Bicycle, Bicycle w/ Electric Assist, Electric Scooter- Julie Noble

①

L+R

Tinti, Elisa

From: Noble, Julie
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2023 9:23 AM
To: Shaut, Andrea
Cc: Tinti, Elisa; paul tobin; vincent Mann; Dana Hall; Noble, Steve
Subject: Watershed Bill of Rights- Revised for review
Attachments: Resolution Supporting Bill of Rights for Hudson River Watershed - Kingston_Updated 3292023.docx

Good morning,

On behalf of Paul Tobin and Chief Mann, I would like to request the review of the attached, revised Watershed Bill of Rights, by the Laws and Rules Committee, under Old Business, for the April 19th committee meeting.

Paul and Chief Mann will be present to speak to this, at the meeting. They will attend remotely.

Thanks,
Julie

Julie L. Noble
City of Kingston
Environmental Education & Sustainability Coordinator
467 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401
845-481-7339

1

RESOLUTION CALLING FOR A BILL OF RIGHTS FOR THE HUDSON RIVER, ALSO KNOWN AS MAHICANNITUCK, AND ITS WATERSHED AND ECOSYSTEM

WHEREAS, we the Common Council of the City of Kingston (the "Council") are concerned about the impact of continuous assault and ruin from human development and industrialization on the Hudson River, also known as Mahicannituck, and its Watershed and Ecosystem; and

WHEREAS, we the people of the City of Kingston possess the right to a clean and healthy environment, which includes the right to a clean and healthy Hudson River Watershed and its Ecosystem; and

WHEREAS, any continued harm to the Hudson River Watershed and its Ecosystem from direct dumping of harmful wastes and from runoff of noxious substances would constitute an immediate emergency; and

WHEREAS, Article XIV, Section 4, of the New York State Constitution, recognizes, in part, that "The policy of the state shall be to conserve and protect its natural resources and scenic beauty and encourage the development and improvement of its agricultural lands for the production of food and other agricultural products. The legislature, in implementing this policy, shall include adequate provision for the abatement of air and water pollution and of excessive and unnecessary noise, the protection of agricultural lands, wetlands and shorelines, and the development and regulation of water resources;" and

WHEREAS, the Hudson River Watershed and its Ecosystem possess inherent, fundamental, and inalienable rights to exist, flourish, evolve, and regenerate, and rights to restoration, recovery, and preservation and that these rights are inadequately protected by state policies and statutes.

NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Council hereby exercises our inherent, fundamental, and inalienable power of local self-government by adopting this Resolution to recognize, secure and create a Bill of Rights providing for the rights of the Hudson River Watershed and its Ecosystem; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Council hereby asserts that Hudson River Watershed and its Ecosystem, which includes the water, as well as all natural water features, communities of organisms, soil, and terrestrial and aquatic sub-Ecosystems that are part of the Hudson River and its Watershed, possess rights to exist, flourish, evolve, and regenerate, and rights to restoration, recovery, and preservation; and be it further

RESOLVED, that these rights include, but are not limited to, rights of the Hudson River Watershed and its Ecosystem to sustain life, to maintain and regenerate their life cycles and evolutionary processes, to be restored to a healthy state, and to be free from harmful activities including the direct dumping of harmful wastes and runoff of noxious substances; and be it further

RESOLVED, that no person, government or business entity of any form shall violate the rights of the Hudson River Watershed; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Clerk of the City of Kingston shall forward copies of this resolution to Governor Kathy Hochul, Assembly and Senate Majority and Minority Leaders, the Chairs of the Assembly Energy Committee and Senate Energy and Telecommunications Committee, the Ulster County Legislature, and all Ulster County elected Assemblymembers and Senators, and move its adoption.

2

L+R

Tinti, Elisa

From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2023 10:05 PM
To: Tinti, Elisa
Subject: Fw: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] DeGasperis_Pearl Street Lane
Attachments: RFP_Pearl Street Lane.docx

Hi Elisa,

Can you please include the following email and the attachment in my communication folder? I will be assigning it for April.

Thank you!

Andrea Shaut

Council President, City of Kingston

From: John A. Degasperis <jdegasperis@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2023 8:44 AM
To: Noble, Steve <SNoble@kingston-ny.gov>; Jankowski, Matthew <mjankowski@kingston-ny.gov>; Shaut, Andrea <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>; Scott-Childress, Reynolds <rscott-childress@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] DeGasperis_Pearl Street Lane

Dear Mayor Noble and Members of the Common Council:

Attached you will find a request to purchase land owned by the City of Kingston. Thank you for your attention to this matter. I greatly appreciate your time and consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions: 845-430-3405.

John DeGasperis

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders or unexpected emails.

JOHN A. & EMILY DeGASPERIS
282 Main Street
Kingston, New York 12401

March 22, 2023

Mayor Steve Noble
420 Broadway
Kingston, New York 12401

City of Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, New York 12401

Re: Sale of Pearl Street Lane

Dear Mayor Noble and Members of the Common Council:

My wife, Emily, and I are writing to communicate our desire to purchase Pearl Street Lane from the City of Kingston. Emily and I would like to purchase Pearl Street Lane because it will unlock a 4.5-acre parcel of vacant land that we are in the process of purchasing.

The subject parcel has an official address of at 369-415 Pearl Street Extension, Kingston. It is currently owned by "The Frank and Rosemary Grimaldi Trust." The image below provides an aerial view of the subject parcel in relationship to Pearl Street Lane. As you can see, Pearl Street Lane runs the entire length of the east side of the vacant parcel.



Pearl Street Lane is a "paper street." Currently, Pearl Street Lane is overgrown with trees and other vegetation. There is no visible road base, no gravel, no blacktop. The lack in infrastructure will require Emily and I to clear the trees and vegetation, grade the earth, and install a new road or driveway to connect our vacant parcel to Loundsbury Court. The driveway will probably extend 300 to 600 feet.

A water main is located underneath Pearl Street Lane. The water main belongs to the City of Kingston Water Department. I have spoken with officials at the Water Department, and they have graciously agreed to the installation of a road or driveway over the water main. I am fully agreeable to providing the City of Kingston and its Water Department with an easement or right-of-way to access the water main whenever necessary.

I have already provided Assistant Corporation Counsel, Matthew Jankowski, Esq., with a description of the subject vacant parcel and Pearl Street Lane. These descriptions were prepared by Brinnier & Larios, P.C.

I am more than happy to provide additional information or background upon request. Please do not hesitate to contact me directly should you have any questions or concerns.

Very truly yours,

John A. DeGasperis

John A. DeGasperis

3

L+R

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of Housing Initiatives

Bartek Starodaj, Director



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

March 27, 2023

Ald. At Large Andrea Shaut, President
City of Kingston Common Council
City Hall - 420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Re: Kingston Forward Citywide Rezoning

Dear President Shaut,

I'd like to request placement on the April Laws & Rules Committee to:

- Discuss potential edits to the form-based code based on comments from the involved agencies (Ulster County Planning Board, City of Kingston Planning Board, and Historic Landmarks Preservation Commission) and from the public hearing and written public comment period. I will provide an overview prior to the meeting once all involved agency comments have been received;
- Discuss potential edits to the form-based code based on the two deep dive discussions that have occurred thus far with the Common Council;
- Update the Committee on next steps with the SEQR process, including the timeline for submission of a Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement.

I will not be requesting any Committee action during this meeting.

Respectfully Submitted,

Bartek Starodaj
Director, Housing Initiatives

Cc: Steve T. Noble, Mayor
E. Tinti, City Clerk
B. Graves-Poller, Corporation Counsel
S. Cahill, Planning Director

City of Kingston - Office of Housing Initiatives
Phone: (845) 334-3928 Email: bstarodaj@kingston-ny.gov

Tinti, Elisa

From: Starodaj, Bartek
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2023 4:36 PM
To: Shaut, Andrea
Cc: Graves-Poller, Barbara; Tinti, Elisa; Noble, Steve; Cahill, Suzanne
Subject: Communication to Council: Kingston Forward Citywide Rezoning Update

Dear President Shaut:

I'd like to request placement on the April Laws & Rules Committee to:

- Discuss potential edits to the form-based code based on comments from the involved agencies (Ulster County Planning Board, City of Kingston Planning Board, and Historic Landmarks Preservation Commission) and from the public hearing and written public comment period. I will provide an overview prior to the meeting once all involved agency comments have been received;
- Discuss potential edits to the form-based code based on the two deep dive discussions that have occurred thus far with the Common Council;
- Update the Committee on next steps with the SEQR process, including the timeline for submission of a Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement.

I will not be requesting any Committee action during this meeting.

Thank you,
Bartek



Common Council
Communication...

Bartek Starodaj

Director of Housing Initiatives
City of Kingston
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Office: 845-334-3928
Mobile: 860-670-8535
bstarodaj@kingston-ny.gov

kingston-ny.gov/housing

(H)

(L+R)

Tinti, Elisa

From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2023 9:59 PM
To: Worthington, Rita
Cc: Tinti, Elisa
Subject: Fw: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] Communication for Transportation & Parking in the City of Kingston Presentation
Attachments: Transportation & Parking in the City of Kingston.pdf

Hi Rita,

I will add this into my communications and will assign it to committee.

Elisa - can you include the attached in my folder?

Thank you,

Andrea Shaut

Council President, City of Kingston

From: Worthington, Rita <ward4@kingston-ny.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2023 10:01 AM
To: Shaut, Andrea <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: Fw: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] Communication for Transportation & Parking in the City of Kingston Presentation

Hi Andrea,

Please see attached from Tanya Garment regarding the form-based zoning issue. I told her that I would allow her and her group to present at April's L&R's meeting - 15 min. Is this something that we can get on the agenda for next month?

Rita Worthington
Alderwoman, Ward 4
City Phone: (845)392-3426
Mobile: (845)532-1398
ward4@kingston-ny.gov

From: Tanya Garment <tanyagarment@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2023 11:29 PM
To: Worthington, Rita <ward4@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] Communication for Transportation & Parking in the City of Kingston Presentation

Hi Rita,

Please find the group's letter to the Common Council attached, for use in the communication and agenda packet for the April Laws & Rules Committee meeting. The presentation will be 15 minutes long.
Thank you,

Overview:

We believe that the draft 3.0 of the Kingston Forward Form Based Zoning code should remain as is regarding parking. ITE's Parking Generation book (noted by the county planning board) was developed in suburban contexts and was forced onto municipalities such as Kingston during the Urban Renewal era. Studies show that residential minimum parking requirements in particular counter multi-modal policies by prioritizing driving convenience by design, leading to more car use, creating an insatiable demand for parking.¹ This increased parking availability is a contributor to traffic conditions by inducing demand for driving.^{2 3} This is a problem that minimum parking requirements will not solve.⁴ Parking land contributes nothing to the tax base of the city, unlike every other land use. As cars are getting bigger and heavier, tax payers are increasingly subsidizing the storage of this private property. Rather than accommodating parking at the expense of everyone else, parking management and access to destinations needs to be prioritized with a multi-modal approach.

Further reasons for an multimodal management approach are as follows:

Whereas

The City of Kingston is developing a new form-based zoning code, referred to as Kingston Forward, which currently is in its third draft and under consideration for adoption by the Common Council

Whereas

The goal of Kingston's zoning overhaul is to replace current land use regulations that uphold antiquated and arbitrary planning principles in an effort to stimulate smart growth, safer streets, and increased housing in the City.

Whereas

The form-based code, Kingston Forward, limits minimum parking requirements ("parking minimums") to the designated Special Districts. The form-based code states "In general, the standards and provisions for Transect Zones are designed to create walkable, mixed-use environments, whereas the Special Districts are more reflective of an existing, primarily automobile-dependent configuration or of a large area devoted primarily to a single land use, such as a school campus."

Whereas

The code ensures the creation of at least one accessible space for all development with 4 or more units in all areas. The code also ensures that all vehicle parking lots and parking structures conform with the Federal Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

¹ <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0967070X11001028>

² https://www3.drcog.org/documents/archive/guaranteed_parking.pdf

³ <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.3141/2118-04>

⁴ <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-01-12/study-the-strongest-evidence-yet-that-abundant-parking-causes-more-driving>

Whereas

Removing on site parking minimums from the code is a piece of a larger holistic vision to improve accessibility, transportation, parking management, more efficient use of developed land, and maximized preservation of undeveloped land within the city of Kingston.

Municipalities that have removed parking minimums have demonstrated positive outcomes, and are better able to meet long-term housing, climate, equity, economic development and transportation goals.

Whereas

The City of Kingston is in a housing crisis and parking minimums have been shown to increase the cost of housing, especially affordable housing⁵ and creates a burden for developers of small-scale projects.⁶ More broadly they serve to displace and move people outside of areas where public infrastructure is responsibly shared. Shared infrastructure lessens the tax burden, and facilitates low cost transportation and access to services.⁷

Whereas

The city of Kingston has committed to reducing greenhouse gas emissions as part of its 2030 Climate Action Plan. Over 40% of climate pollution within the City of Kingston is a result of road transportation, specifically driving activity. To mitigate long-term climate risk, any addition of parking supply needs to be scrutinized as a trade-off against the sustainable growth outcomes that Kingston Forward looks to foster.

Whereas

Our parking meters and municipal parking lots ARE NOT monitored for many hours when parking is in most demand, such as in the evenings and on weekends when tourism is highest. And, our parking meters and lots ARE monitored and require a fee in many places when there is little or no demand for parking, such as in front of shops and restaurants many hours before they are open for business. Additionally, parking meters are all one static price whenever they are in use. Dynamic pricing with parking sensors is recommended as a tool to reduce traffic congestion, in the 2030 Climate Action Plan. Demand based pricing will also support commercial activity by increasing parking turnover, which adds foot traffic.⁸

Whereas

Residents and visitors often do not know where all municipal lots are located or even of their existence. There is no map of locations of municipal lots on City's website or elsewhere online. There is currently inadequate signage directing the public to the locations of municipal parking facilities.

⁵ <https://www.vtppi.org/park-hou.pdf>

⁶ https://escholarship.org/content/qt3nk8c382/qt3nk8c382_noSplash_99b6ce111290a54e1bf56e1377fb6e9e.pdf?t=qhqfbk.

² <https://www.brookings.edu/research/parking-requirements-and-foundations-are-driving-up-the-cost-of-multifamily-housing/>

⁸ <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0965856421001105>

Therefore,

We propose that the current draft of the zoning code remain as is regarding parking provisions.

We propose that in order to produce data for a sustainable parking management system, the City conducts a parking inventory to understand overall parking supply across the entire municipality and how many parking spaces exist curbside, in municipal and private surface parking lots and in driveways. And, determine usage in key areas during different times of the day and week, classify the profile of usage (short-term, overnight, residential, commercial, disabled access). Usage can be determined using parking sensors.

We propose that the City manage parking through: installing parking sensors to facilitate variable price parking and identifying opportunities for the management and enforcement of parking meters and kiosks outside of the hours that City Hall is open. And that the funds received from managing the City's parking be put back into the neighborhoods where the parking is located to improve public spaces.

We propose that the city publish a Parking section on the City website that includes maps of all publicly managed parking, including municipal parking lots, on the city website. Instruct on procedures for snow emergencies and include the list of emergency snow routes. List special requirements, such as when all cars must be off of Broadway for sweeping.

We propose that both permanent and temporary signage be created and distributed throughout the city to direct drivers to the locations of municipal parking spaces. Investigate the feasibility of digital parking wayfinding guidance systems, such as those that exist in downtown New Haven and Milwaukee to direct drivers to available spaces on municipal lots.⁹ Parking sensors can be employed to relay this information.

We propose incorporating wayfinding into Flowbird, or any current web based app that is connected to parking kiosks and meters. If possible, the app should also give alerts for special events, snow emergencies and for daily usage including the availability of parking for the disabled in municipal lots.

We propose that the City actively seek and secure partnerships with the County and State in initiatives that support a holistic approach to parking management and equitable facilitation of all modes of transportation, such as bus shelters, sidewalk repair and improvements, ADA compliant infrastructure, and street design projects to improve safety for all users.

⁹ <https://www.wuwm.com/regional/2014-06-25/downtown-milwaukee-signs-offer-parking-guidance>

13

LR

Tinti, Elisa

From: Hirsch, Michele
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2023 12:03 PM
To: Shaut, Andrea
Cc: Tinti, Elisa
Subject: Communication pertaining to City of Kingston Form Based Code Section 405.19 Affordable Housing Standards
Attachments: COK 2021 Census Data household income.pdf

Dear President Shaut,

Please accept this communication as a request to enter into a discussion at the upcoming April Laws and Rules meeting in regard to Section 405.19 Affordable Housing Standards as they appear in Draft 3.0.

I have attached 2021 ACS AMI data for Kingston, NY which outlines the current household income levels within the City of Kingston.

I believe that this discussion is extremely relevant and must take place at our next Laws and Rules meeting as Section 405.19 Affordable Housing Standards changed between Draft 2.0 and Draft 3.0 that was released in November, 2022 that:

1. The AMI has changed from Draft 2.0 to Draft 3.0 that needs to be discussed. In 2.0 Section 405.19, the term "Affordable" represents 80% of Ulster County's AMI while "Workforce" represents 100% of Ulster County's AMI. In 3.0 Section 405.19, "Affordable" remains at 80% however, "Workforce" has increased to 120% and is triggered earlier (20-49 units). In addition, the Ulster County AMI data is explicitly stated in 2.0 and removed in 3.0. The council needs confidence that the data guiding AMI in the Form-Based-Code is appropriate for Kingston, especially following the pandemic.
2. A Payment in Lieu of Affordable Housing has been included in Draft 3.0 which needs to be discussed. Furthermore, City of Kingston's executive branch released an RFP seeking a qualified consultant to design an Affordable Housing Incentive fund back on December 7, 2022. The work is scheduled to be done "on or about April 30, 2023" before the council has had the opportunity to review public comment (that is to close on April 10) on the item and to discuss whether the council decides to accept or reject it.

While Section 405.19 Affordable Housing Standards A. Affordable Housing Requirements states that "all development shall comply, at a minimum, with the following requirements for affordable housing", many other communities state explicitly, in their zoning code, the lower levels of AMI as a hard number in order to target the development of affordable housing based on their community's need. The common council needs time to be sure that we are meeting the needs of our current residents in the City of Kingston based on their housing and in relation to their income. A substantive and robust discussion is required to ensure that any new housing that comes out of our new Form Based Code is affordable and accessible to our residents now.

Thank you for your consideration.

With kind regards,

Michele Hirsch
Alderwoman, Ward 9

SELECTED ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS



Note: The table shown may have been modified by user selections. Some information may be missing.

DATA NOTES

TABLE ID: DP03
 SURVEY/PROGRAM: American Community Survey
 VINTAGE: 2021
 DATASET: ACSDP5Y2021
 PRODUCT: ACS 5-Year Estimates Data Profiles
 UNIVERSE: None
 FTP URL: None
 API URL: <https://api.census.gov/data/2021/acs/acs5/profile>

USER SELECTIONS

GEOS: Kingston city, New York
 TOPICS: Class of Worker; Commuting; Income and Poverty

EXCLUDED COLUMNS: None

APPLIED FILTERS: None

APPLIED SORTS: None

PIVOT & GROUPING

PIVOT COLUMNS: None
 PIVOT MODE: Off
 ROW GROUPS: None
 VALUE COLUMNS: None

WEB ADDRESS

[https://data.census.gov/table?
q=kingston+new+york&t=Class+of+Worker;Commuting;Income+and+Poverty&tid=ACSDP5Y2021.DP03](https://data.census.gov/table?q=kingston+new+york&t=Class+of+Worker;Commuting;Income+and+Poverty&tid=ACSDP5Y2021.DP03)

TABLE NOTES

Although the American Community Survey (ACS) produces population, demographic and housing unit estimates, it is the Census Bureau's Population Estimates Program that produces and disseminates the official estimates of the population for the nation, states, counties, cities, and towns and estimates of housing units for states and counties.

Supporting documentation on code lists, subject definitions, data accuracy, and statistical testing can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Technical Documentation section.

Sample size and data quality measures (including coverage rates, allocation rates, and response rates) can be found on the American Community Survey website in the Methodology section.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau. 2017-2021 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see ACS Technical Documentation). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

Employment and unemployment estimates may vary from the official labor force data released by the Bureau of Labor Statistics because of differences in survey design and data collection. For guidance on differences in employment and unemployment estimates from different sources go to Labor Force Guidance.

Workers include members of the Armed Forces and civilians who were at work last week.

Industry titles and their 4-digit codes are based on the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The Census industry codes for 2018 and later years are based on the 2017 revision of the NAICS. To allow for the creation of multiyear tables, industry data in the multiyear files (prior to data year 2018) were recoded to the 2017 Census industry codes. We recommend using caution when comparing data coded using 2017 Census industry codes with data coded using Census industry codes prior to data year 2018. For more information on the Census industry code changes, please visit our website at <https://www.census.gov/topics/employment/industry-occupation/guidance/code-lists.html>.

Logical coverage edits applying a rules-based assignment of Medicaid, Medicare and military health coverage were added as of 2009 -- please see https://www.census.gov/library/working-papers/2010/demo/coverage_edits_final.html for more details. Select geographies of 2008 data comparable to the 2009 and later tables are available at <https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/acs/1-year-re-run-health-insurance.html>. The health insurance coverage category names were modified in 2010. See https://www.census.gov/topics/health/health-insurance/about/glossary.html#par_textimage_18 for a list of the insurance type definitions.

Beginning in 2017, selected variable categories were updated, including age-categories, income-to-poverty ratio (IPR) categories, and the age universe for certain employment and education variables. See user note entitled "Health Insurance Table Updates" for further

details.

Several means of transportation to work categories were updated in 2019. For more information, see: Change to Means of Transportation.

Between 2018 and 2019 the American Community Survey retirement income question changed. These changes resulted in an increase in both the number of households reporting retirement income and higher aggregate retirement income at the national level. For more information see Changes to the Retirement Income Question .

The categories for relationship to householder were revised in 2019. For more information see Revisions to the Relationship to Household item.

Occupation titles and their 4-digit codes are based on the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC). The Census occupation codes for 2018 and later years are based on the 2018 revision of the SOC. To allow for the creation of the multiyear tables, occupation data in the multiyear files (prior to data year 2018) were recoded to the 2018 Census occupation codes. We recommend using caution when comparing data coded using 2018 Census occupation codes with data coded using Census occupation codes prior to data year 2018. For more information on the Census occupation code changes, please visit our website at <https://www.census.gov/topics/employment/industry-occupation/guidance/code-lists.html>.

In 2019, methodological changes were made to the class of worker question. These changes involved modifications to the question wording, the category wording, and the visual format of the categories on the questionnaire. The format for the class of worker categories are now listed under the headings "Private Sector Employee," "Government Employee," and "Self-Employed or Other." Additionally, the category of Active Duty was added as one of the response categories under the "Government Employee" section for the mail questionnaire. For more detailed information about the 2019 changes, see the 2016 American Community Survey Content Test Report for Class of Worker located at http://www.census.gov/library/working-papers/2017/acs/2017_Martinez_01.html.

Beginning in data year 2019, respondents to the Weeks Worked question provided an integer value for the number of weeks worked. For data years 2008 through 2018, respondents selected a category corresponding to the number of weeks worked.

The 2017-2021 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the March 2020 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delineations of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In certain instances, the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB delineation lists due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural populations, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2010 data. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.

Information

Data I

Kingston city, New York				
Label	Estimate	Margin of Error	Percent	Percent Margin of Error
EMPLOYMENT STATUS				
Population 16 years and over	19,517	±493	19,517	(X)
In labor force	13,253	±568	67.9%	±2.5
Civilian labor force	13,248	±567	67.9%	±2.5
Employed	12,378	±602	63.4%	±2.7
Unemployed	870	±264	4.5%	±1.4
Armed Forces	5	±9	0.0%	±0.1
Not in labor force	6,264	±514	32.1%	±2.5
Civilian labor force	13,248	±567	13,248	(X)
Unemployment Rate	(X)	(X)	6.6%	±2.0
Females 16 years and over	9,659	±401	9,659	(X)
In labor force	6,253	±395	64.7%	±3.4
Civilian labor force	6,253	±395	64.7%	±3.4
Employed	5,918	±409	61.3%	±3.7
Own children of the householder under 6 years	1,785	±251	1,785	(X)
All parents in family in labor force	1,365	±249	76.5%	±8.6
Own children of the householder 6 to 17 years	2,950	±385	2,950	(X)
All parents in family in labor force	2,265	±355	76.8%	±6.8
COMMUTING TO WORK				
Workers 16 years and over	12,168	±606	12,168	(X)
Car, truck, or van -- drove alone	8,331	±502	68.5%	±3.9
Car, truck, or van -- carpooled	1,438	±429	11.8%	±3.3
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	486	±243	4.0%	±2.0
Walked	572	±214	4.7%	±1.7
Other means	340	±138	2.8%	±1.1
Worked from home	1,001	±230	8.2%	±1.8
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	23.7	±1.9	(X)	(X)
OCCUPATION				
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	12,378	±602	12,378	(X)
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	4,467	±489	36.1%	±3.1
Service occupations	2,760	±414	22.3%	±3.2
Sales and office occupations	2,327	±346	18.8%	±2.8
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	1,124	±357	9.1%	±2.8

Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	1,700	±359	13.7%	±3.0
INDUSTRY				
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	12,378	±602	12,378	(X)
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	49	±36	0.4%	±0.3
Construction	1,058	±344	8.5%	±2.7
Manufacturing	600	±165	4.8%	±1.3
Wholesale trade	109	±82	0.9%	±0.7
Retail trade	1,527	±279	12.3%	±2.2
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	600	±260	4.8%	±2.1
Information	260	±118	2.1%	±1.0
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	724	±180	5.8%	±1.5
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	1,295	±370	10.5%	±2.8
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	3,423	±356	27.7%	±2.7
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	1,511	±289	12.2%	±2.3
Other services, except public administration	619	±156	5.0%	±1.2
Public administration	603	±140	4.9%	±1.1
CLASS OF WORKER				
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	12,378	±602	12,378	(X)
Private wage and salary workers	9,954	±658	80.4%	±3.1
Government workers	1,449	±242	11.7%	±2.0
Self-employed in own not incorporated business workers	954	±233	7.7%	±1.8
Unpaid family workers	21	±24	0.2%	±0.2
INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2021 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)				
Total households	9,505	±476	9,505	(X)
Less than \$10,000	592	±173	6.2%	±1.8
\$10,000 to \$14,999	695	±233	7.3%	±2.4
\$15,000 to \$24,999	819	±224	8.6%	±2.4
\$25,000 to \$34,999	886	±204	9.3%	±2.1
\$35,000 to \$49,999	1,143	±206	12.0%	±2.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,622	±330	17.1%	±3.1
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,262	±288	13.3%	±2.8
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,182	±195	12.4%	±2.1

\$150,000 to \$199,999	540	±174	5.7%	±1.8
\$200,000 or more	764	±223	8.0%	±2.4
Median household income (dollars)	58,840	±3,724	(X)	(X)
Mean household income (dollars)	79,424	±5,081	(X)	(X)
With earnings	7,310	±411	76.9%	±2.9
Mean earnings (dollars)	83,659	±6,381	(X)	(X)
With Social Security	2,871	±357	30.2%	±3.3
Mean Social Security income (dollars)	19,108	±1,495	(X)	(X)
With retirement income	1,871	±256	19.7%	±2.7
Mean retirement income (dollars)	24,186	±3,821	(X)	(X)
With Supplemental Security Income	670	±156	7.0%	±1.7
Mean Supplemental Security Income (dollars)	9,570	±1,906	(X)	(X)
With cash public assistance income	321	±126	3.4%	±1.3
Mean cash public assistance income (dollars)	4,836	±944	(X)	(X)
With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past 12 months	1,690	±308	17.8%	±3.3
Families	5,074	±326	5.074	(X)
Less than \$10,000	310	±179	6.1%	±3.4
\$10,000 to \$14,999	231	±126	4.6%	±2.4
\$15,000 to \$24,999	190	±121	3.7%	±2.4
\$25,000 to \$34,999	463	±149	9.1%	±2.8
\$35,000 to \$49,999	517	±141	10.2%	±2.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	858	±223	16.9%	±4.1
\$75,000 to \$99,999	712	±165	14.0%	±3.3
\$100,000 to \$149,999	859	±172	16.9%	±3.3
\$150,000 to \$199,999	448	±155	8.8%	±3.2
\$200,000 or more	486	±124	9.6%	±2.3
Median family income (dollars)	72,154	±13,428	(X)	(X)
Mean family income (dollars)	93,528	±7,752	(X)	(X)
Per capita income (dollars)	32,587	±2,305	(X)	(X)
Nonfamily households	4,431	±540	4,431	(X)
Median nonfamily income (dollars)	41,057	±5,204	(X)	(X)
Mean nonfamily income (dollars)	56,756	±8,601	(X)	(X)
Median earnings for workers (dollars)	34,608	±2,653	(X)	(X)
Median earnings for male full-time, year-round workers (dollars)	51,033	±8,643	(X)	(X)

6

(L+R)

CITY OF KINGSTON

Office of the City Clerk & Registrar of Vital Statistics

cityclerk@kingston-ny.gov

Steven T. Noble, Mayor
Elisa Tinti, City Clerk & Registrar



Deidre Sills, Deputy Clerk
Susan Mesches, Deputy Registrar

President Shaut
420 Broadway
Kingston, New York 12401

March 30, 2023

Dear President Shaut,

I request a placement on Laws & Rules for the 2023 Standard Work Day Resolution that is due every year for elected and appointed officials in the New York State Retirement System.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
Elisa Tinti

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Elisa Tinti", is written over a horizontal line.

Tinti, Elisa

From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2023 6:13 PM
To: Tinti, Elisa
Cc: Davis, Tony
Subject: Communications

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Elisa,

Alderman Davis has asked for the council to revisit the outdoor dining policy we established last year, as a check-in. I would like to assign this to Laws & Rules for April. Can you include this email in my communication folder as a placeholder?

Thank you,

Andrea Shaut

Council President, City of Kingston

⑧

(L+R)

Tinti, Elisa

From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2023 2:05 PM
To: Tinti, Elisa
Cc: Olivieri, Michael
Subject: Fw: Council Rules Amendment

Good afternoon Elisa,

Can you please include the following communication from Alderman Olivieri in my folder?

Thank you,

Andrea Shaut

Council President, City of Kingston

From: Olivieri, Michael <ward7@kingston-ny.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2023 8:09 AM
To: Shaut, Andrea <ashaut@kingston-ny.gov>
Subject: Council Rules Amendment

Good morning President Shaut,

I would like to propose an amendment to our council rules, setting a minimum time standard which all information be provided to a committee no less than 48 hours beforehand.

Time-sensitive issues/material can be excluded from the minimum timeframe if deemed necessary by Council President.

Thank you,

Michael A. Olivieri
Alderman: Ward-7
845-481-3824
Facebook.com/Mike4ward7

9

(L+R)

Tinti, Elisa

From: Shaut, Andrea
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2023 7:46 AM
To: Tinti, Elisa
Subject: For April Laws & Rules

Dear Members of the Laws & Rules Committee,

Due to the recent decision by the Appellate Division, Third Dep't, to affirm the lower court's decision to overturn the City of Albany's Good Cause Eviction Law, I am requesting you to consider repealing the City of Kingston's Good Cause Eviction Law. Considering Kingston also sits in the third department, a precedent was set and our law will also be overturned if it goes to court, which it seems likely to do so.

I would like to thank you all for supporting Good Cause last year. I am disappointed to see the outcome; however, I look forward to discussing solutions with you all in our efforts to better protect our Kingston tenants.

Respectfully,

Andrea Shaut

Council President, City of Kingston

OLD BUSINESS

City of Kingston
Parks and Recreation Department
ltimbrouck@kingston-ny.gov

Steven T. Noble, Mayor



Lynsey Timbrouck, Director

January 5, 2023

Honorable Andrea Shaut
President/Alderman-at-Large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Re: An Ordinance Regulating the Operation of Shared Bicycle, Shared Bicycle with Electric Assist and Shared Electric Scooter Systems

Dear President Shaut,

The Sustainability Office, with support from the Complete Streets Advisory Council, the Live Well Kingston Commission, and the Climate Smart Kingston Commission, would like to request that the Kingston Common Council consider adoption of an ordinance permitting the use of e-bikes, e-scooters, and shared micro-mobility services within the City of Kingston.

Currently, e-bikes and e-scooters are not legal for private operation off City streets, per 2020 N.Y. Chapter 58, Part XX, §§ 1-10, which requires municipalities in New York State enact local laws legalizing the devices within their own boundaries, as opposed to statewide regulation. However, use of these devices is already currently widespread in public parks, lots, and paths. While they are generally operated safely, establishing an ordinance that grants specific permission for their use will allow the City to implement regulations for these devices that are not applicable to standard bicycles. Further, legalization will encourage expanded use of mobility devices with electrical assist, which are popular and helpful tools for anyone seeking a reduced reliance on vehicular mobility, reduction of which is an essential climate action. As such, this ordinance is directly in line with the mission of the Climate Smart Kingston Commission, and our 2030 Climate Action Plan.

The ordinance will further permit the City to solicit offers from ride-share and micro-mobility services, that, with the explicit consent of City government, may apply for permitting to install bike-share services within the City. We believe that these bike share services will encourage the use of alternate modes of transportation, alleviate vehicle traffic, improve the health and wellness of the citizens, and be a popular activity for tourism and therefore economic growth.

City of Kingston
Parks and Recreation Department
ltimbrouck@kingston-ny.gov

Steven T. Noble, Mayor



Lynsey Timbrouck, Director

A draft ordinance was provided by the Complete Streets Advisory Council, who began working on this initiative during summer 2021. A template law was pulled from an implementation guide titled "Regulating E-Bicycles and E-Scooters: Issues and Options, A Guide for New York Communities - Version 2.0" published by Peter W. Martin of Cornell Law School in May, 2022. Following expression of public interest in a Citywide bikeshare program, the provided language was then adjusted by my office, based on program recommendations provided by the Complete Streets Advisory Board, the Live Well Kingston Commission, and the Climate Smart Kingston Commission.

The Draft Ordinance is currently under final review by Corporation Counsel. This Draft will be provided to you in advance of your meeting for consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Julie L. Noble". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Julie" and last name "Noble" clearly distinguishable.

Julie L. Noble

CC: Barbara Graves-Poller
Emily Flynn
John Grossbohl

**LAWS & RULES
COMMITTEE REPORT**

DATE: 1/5/2023

Adoption of an ordinance to permit the use of e-bikes, e-scooters and shared micro-mobility services within the City of Kingston.

Signature:

Action Required:

Unlisted Action

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Rita Worthington, Chairperson		
Barbara Hill, Ward 1		
Carl Frankel, Ward 2		
Rennie Scott-Childress, Ward 3		
Michael Olivieri, Ward 7		